arXiv:1703.01949v3 [math.AP] 25 Apr 2017

LOW REGULARITY LOCAL WELL-POSEDNESS FOR THE YANG-MILLS EQUATION IN LORENZ GAUGE

HARTMUT PECHER
FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK UND NATURWISSENSCHAFTEN
BERGISCHE UNIVERSITÄT WUPPERTAL
GAUSSSTR. 20
42119 WUPPERTAL
GERMANY
E-MAIL PECHER@MATH.UNI-WUPPERTAL.DE

Abstract. We prove that the Yang-Mills equation in Lorenz gauge in the (n+1)-dimensional case is locally well-posed for data of the gauge potential in H^s and the curvature in H^r , where $s > \frac{n}{2} - \frac{7}{8}$, $r > \frac{n}{2} - \frac{7}{4}$, if $n \geq 4$, and $s > \frac{5}{7}$, $r > -\frac{1}{7}$, if $n = 3$. The proof is based on the fundamental results of Klainerman-Selberg [KS] and on the null structure of most of the nonlinear terms detected by Selberg-Tesfahun [ST] and Tesfahun [Te].

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the Lie group $SO(n, \mathbb{R})$ (the group of orthogonal matrices of determinant 1) or $SU(n, \mathbb{C})$ (the group of unitary matrices of determinant 1) and g its Lie algebra $so(n, \mathbb{R})$ (the algebra of trace-free skew symmetric matrices) or $su(n, \mathbb{C})$ (the algebra of trace-free skew hermitian matrices) with Lie bracket $[X, Y] = XY - YX$ (the matrix commutator). For given $A_\alpha : \mathbb{R}^{1+n} \to g$ we define the curvature $F = F[A]$ by

$$F_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha A_\beta - \partial_\beta A_\alpha + [A_\alpha, A_\beta] \,, \tag{1}$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ and $D_\alpha = \partial_\alpha + [A_\alpha, \cdot\,]$.

Then the Yang-Mills system is given by

$$D^\alpha F_{\alpha\beta} = 0 \tag{2}$$

in Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}^{1+n} = \mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}^n_x$, where $n \geq 3$, with metric $diag(-1, 1, ..., 1)$. Greek indices run over $\{0, 1, ..., n\}$, Latin indices over $\{1, ..., n\}$, and the usual summation convention is used. We use the notation $\partial_\mu = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu}$, where we write $(x^0, x^1, ..., x^n) = (t, x^1, ..., x^n)$ and also $\partial_0 = \partial_t$.

Setting $\beta = 0$ in (2) we obtain the Gauss-law constraint

$$\partial^j F_{j0} + [A^j, F_{j0}] = 0 \,.$$

The total energy for YM, at time t, is given by

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \sum_{0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |F_{\alpha\beta}(t, x)|^2 \, dx,$$

and is conserved for a smooth solution decaying sufficiently fast at spatial infinity, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \mathcal{E}(0).$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35Q40, 35L70
Key words and phrases: Yang-Mills, local well-posedness, Lorenz gauge

The system is gauge invariant. Given a sufficiently smooth function $U : \mathbb{R}^{1+n} \to \mathcal{G}$ we define the gauge transformation T by $TA_0 = A'_0$, $T(A_1, ..., A_n) = (A'_1, ..., A'_n)$, where

$$A_\alpha \longmapsto A'_\alpha = UA_\alpha U^{-1} - (\partial_\alpha U)U^{-1} .$$

It is well-known that if $(A_0, ...A_n)$ satisfies (1),(2) so does $(A'_0, ..., A'_n)$.

Hence we may impose a gauge condition. We exclusively study the Lorenz gauge $\partial^\alpha A_\alpha = 0$. Other convenient gauges are the Coulomb gauge $\partial^j A_j = 0$ and the temporal gauge $A_0 = 0$. It is well-known that for the low regularity well-posedness problem for the Yang-Mills equation a null structure for some of the nonlinear terms plays a crucial role.This was first detected by Klainerman and Machedon [KM], who proved global well-posedness in the case of three space dimensions in temporal and in Coulomb gauge in energy space. The corresponding result in Lorenz gauge, where the Yang-Mills equation can be formulated as a system of nonlinear wave equations, was shown by Selberg and Tesfahun [ST], who discovered that also in this case some of the nonlinearities have a null structure. This allows to rely on some of the methods that were previously used for the Maxwell-Dirac equation in [AFS1] and the Maxwell-Klein-Gordon equation in [ST1]. Tesfahun [Te] improved the local well-posedness result to data without finite energy, namely for $(A(0), (\partial_t A)(0) \in H^s \times H^{s-1}$ and $(F(0), (\partial_t F)(0) \in H^r \times H^{r-1}$ with $s > \frac{6}{7}$ and $r > -\frac{1}{14}$, by discovering an additional partial null structure. Local well-posedness in energy space was also given by Oh [O] using a new gauge, namely the Yang-Mills heat flow. He was also able to shows that this solution can be globally extended [O1]. Tao [T] showed local well-posedness for small data in $H^s \times H^{s-1}$ for $s > \frac{3}{4}$ in temporal gauge. Tao's result was generalized to space dimensions $n \geq 3$ by the author [P]. In space dimension n the critical regularity with respect to scaling is $s = \frac{n}{2} - 1$. In the case $n = 4$ where the energy space is critical. Klainerman and Tataru [KT] proved small data local well-posedness for a closely related model problem in Coulomb gauge for $s > 1$. Klainerman and Selberg [KS] treated the local well-posedness problem with minimal regularity for some systems of nonlinear wave equations. Especially, they showed local well-posedness for a model problem related to the Yang-Mills system in the almost critical region, where $s > \frac{n}{2} - 1$. Recently the result [KT] was significantly improved by Krieger and Tataru [KrT], who were able to show global well-posedness for data with small energy. Sterbenz [St] considered also the four-dimensional case in Lorenz gauge and proved global well-posedness for small data in Besov space $\dot{B}^{1,1} \times \dot{(B)}^{0,1}$. In high space dimension $n \geq 6$ (and n even) Krieger and Sterbenz [KrSt] proved global well-posedness for small data in the critical Sobolev space.

In the present paper we consider the low regularity local-wellposedness problem for large data for the Yang-Mills system in Lorenz gauge and space dimension $n \geq 3$. In the case $n \geq 4$ our main result is local well-posedness for $s > \frac{n}{2} - \frac{7}{8}$ and $r > \frac{n}{2} - \frac{7}{4}$, in the case $n = 3$ we obtain local well-posedness for $s > \frac{5}{7}$ and $r > -\frac{1}{7}$, where existence holds in $A \in C^0([0,T], H^s) \cap C^1([0,T], H^{s-1})$, $F \in C^0([0,T], H^r) \cap C^1([0,T], H^{r-1})$ and (existence and) uniqueness in a certain subspace (Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1). In the case $n = 3$ this is an improvement of Tesfahun's result [Te], whereas in the case $n \geq 4$ the results for the full Yang-Mills system in Lorenz gauge and large data are also new. Crucial for this result are on one hand the methods developed in the papers by Selberg-Tesfahun [ST] and Tesfahun [Te], especially their detection of the null structure in most - unfortunately not all - the critical nonlinear terms. On the other hand we rely on the methods by Klainerman and Selberg [KS] for a model problem for Yang-Mills, which ignores the gauge condition. We modify their solution space appropriately and show that

its main properties are preserved. We were unable to come down to the critical value $s = \frac{n}{2} - 1$, which is prevented mainly by one of the nonlinear terms, for which no null structure is known and which leads to the estimate (33). [ST] and [Te] used solution spaces of wave-Sobolev type $H^{s,b}$, which are closely related to the Bourgain-Klainerman-Machedon spaces $X^{s,b}$, for which a convenient atlas of bilinear estimates was proven by [AFS] in dimension $n = 3$.

[ST] and [Te] used solution spaces of wave-Sobolev type $H^{s,b}$, which are closely related to the Bourgain-Klainerman-Machedon spaces $X^{s,b}$, for which a convenient atlas of bilinear estimates was proven by [AFS] in dimension $n \leq 3$ and stated in arbitrary dimension. We give a proof for a special case in $n \geq 4$ and also rely on a paper by Lee and Vargas [LV], who obtain $L^p_t L^q_x$ - estimates for products of solutions of the wave equation.

In chapter 2 we recall the reformulation of the Yang-Mills equation as a system of nonlinear wave equations and state our main theorem (Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1). We also fix some notation. Chapter 3 contains the bilinear estimates in wave-Sobolev spaces. Moreover we define the solution spaces and state its fundamental properties. We reduce the local well-posedness problem to a suitable set of nonlinearities in Proposition 3.15, where we complete rely on [KS]. In chapter 4 we formulate the Yang-Mills equations in final form - using the whole null structure - and the necessary nonlinear estimates as in [Te]. We also review some well-known properties of the standard null forms and the additional one detected in [Te]. In chapter 4 and 5 we prove the estimates for the nonlinearities for $n \geq 4$ and $n = 3$, respectively.

Acknowledgment: I thank Axel Grünrock who pointed out the paper by Lee-Vargas [LV] to me.

2. Main results

Expanding (2) in terms of the gauge potentials $\{A_\alpha\}$, we obtain:

$$\Box A_\beta = \partial_\beta \partial^\alpha A_\alpha - [\partial^\alpha A_\alpha, A_\beta] - [A^\alpha, \partial^\alpha A_\beta] - [A^\alpha, F_{\alpha\beta}]. \tag{3}$$

If we now impose the Lorenz gauge condition, the system (3) reduces to the nonlinear wave equation

$$\Box A_\beta = -[A^\alpha, \partial_\alpha A_\beta] - [A^\alpha, F_{\alpha\beta}]. \tag{4}$$

In addition, regardless of the choice of gauge, F satisfies the wave equation

$$\begin{aligned} \Box F_{\beta\gamma} = &-[A^\alpha, \partial_\alpha F_{\beta\gamma}] - \partial^\alpha [A_\alpha, F_{\beta\gamma}] - [A^\alpha, [A_\alpha, F_{\beta\gamma}]] \\ &- 2[F^\alpha{}_\beta, F_{\gamma\alpha}]. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Indeed, this will follow if we apply D^α to the Bianchi identity

$$D_\alpha F_{\beta\gamma} + D_\beta F_{\gamma\alpha} + D_\gamma F_{\alpha\beta} = 0$$

and simplify the resulting expression using the commutation identity

$$D_\alpha D_\beta X - D_\beta D_\alpha X = [F_{\alpha\beta}, X]$$

and (2) ([ST]).

Expanding the second and fourth terms in (5), and also imposing the Lorenz gauge, yields

$$\begin{aligned} \Box F_{\beta\gamma} = &-2[A^\alpha, \partial_\alpha F_{\beta\gamma}] + 2[\partial_\gamma A^\alpha, \partial_\alpha A_\beta] - 2[\partial_\beta A^\alpha, \partial_\alpha A_\gamma] \\ &+ 2[\partial^\alpha A_\beta, \partial_\alpha A_\gamma] + 2[\partial_\beta A^\alpha, \partial_\gamma A_\alpha] - [A^\alpha, [A_\alpha, F_{\beta\gamma}]] \\ &+ 2[F_{\alpha\beta}, [A^\alpha, A_\gamma]] - 2[F_{\alpha\gamma}, [A^\alpha, A_\beta]] - 2[[A^\alpha, A_\beta], [A_\alpha, A_\gamma]]. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Note on the other hand by expanding the last term in the right hand side of (4), we obtain

$$\Box A_\beta = -2[A^\alpha, \partial_\alpha A_\beta] + [A^\alpha, \partial_\beta A_\alpha] - [A^\alpha, [A_\alpha, A_\beta]]. \tag{7}$$

We want to solve the system (6)-(7) simultaneously for A and F. So to pose the Cauchy problem for this system, we consider initial data for (A, F) at $t = 0$:

$$A(0) = a, \quad \partial_t A(0) = \dot{a}, \quad F(0) = f, \quad \partial_t F(0) = \dot{f}. \tag{8}$$

In fact, the initial data for F can be determined from $(a, \dot{a})$ as follows:

$$\begin{cases} f_{ij} = \partial_i a_j - \partial_j a_i + [a_i, a_j], \\ f_{0i} = \dot{a}_i - \partial_i a_0 + [a_0, a_i], \\ \dot{f}_{ij} = \partial_i \dot{a}_j - \partial_j \dot{a}_i + [\dot{a}_i, a_j] + [a_i, \dot{a}_j], \\ \dot{f}_{0i} = \partial^j f_{ji} + [a^\alpha, f_{\alpha i}] \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

where the first three expressions come from (1) whereas the last one comes from (2) with $\beta = i$.

Note that the Lorenz gauge condition $\partial^\alpha A_\alpha = 0$ and (2) with $\beta = 0$ impose the constraints

$$\dot{a}_0 = \partial^i a_i, \quad \partial^i f_{i0} + [a^i, f_{i0}] = 0. \tag{10}$$

Now we formulate our main theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *If $n \geq 4$, assume that s and r satisfy the following conditions:*

$$s > \frac{n}{2} - \frac{7}{8}, \qquad r > \frac{n}{2} - \frac{7}{4}, \qquad r < s < r + 1\,.$$
$$3r - 2s > \frac{n}{2} - \frac{7}{2}, \quad 2r - s > -\frac{3}{4} \ (\textit{if } n = 4)\,,$$
$$2s - r > \frac{n}{2}, \quad 3s - 2r > \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\,.$$

If $n = 3$, assume :

$$s > \frac{5}{7}, \quad r > -\frac{1}{7}, \quad r < s < r + 1\,,$$
$$2r - s > -1\,, \quad 2s - r > \frac{3}{2}, \quad 4s - r > 3\,.$$

Given initial data $(a, \dot{a}) \in H^s \times H^{s-1}$, $(f, \dot{f}) \in H^{r-1}$, there exists a time $T > 0$, $T = T(\|a\|_{H^s}, \|\dot{a}\|_{H^{s+1}}, \|f\|_{H^r}, \|\dot{f}\|_{H^{r-1}})$, such that the Cauchy problem (6),(7),(8) has a unique solution $A \in F^s_T$, $F \in G^r_T$ (these spaces are defined in Def. 3.1). This solution has the regularity

$$A \in C^0([0,T], H^s) \cap C^1([0,T], H^{s-1}) \quad , \quad F \in C^0([0,T], H^r) \cap C^1([0,T], H^{r-1})\,.$$

Remark: Case $n \geq 4$. 1. The assumptions on s and r imply $6s - \frac{3}{2}n > 3r > 2s + \frac{n}{2} - \frac{7}{2}$, which can only be fulfilled, if $s > \frac{n}{2} - \frac{7}{8}$, and therefore $r > \frac{n}{2} - \frac{7}{4}$. One easily checks that the choice $s = \frac{n}{2} - \frac{7}{8} + \epsilon$, $r = \frac{n}{2} - \frac{7}{4} + \epsilon$ satisfies our assumptions, if $\epsilon > 0$ is small enough.
2. The following estimate is automatically fulfilled $2r - 2 > \frac{n}{2} - 3$, because $2r - s = 3r - 2s - r + s > \frac{n}{2} - \frac{7}{2}$.
Case $n = 3$. 1. The assumptions on s and r imply $4s - 3 > r > \frac{s}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$, which can only be fulfilled, if $s > \frac{5}{7}$, and therefore $r > -\frac{1}{7}$. One easily checks that the choice $s = \frac{5}{7} + \epsilon$, $r = -\frac{1}{7} + \epsilon$ satisfies our assumptions, if $\epsilon > 0$ is small enough.
2. The following conditions are automatically fulfilled

$$3r - 2s > -2\,, \quad 3s - 2r > 2\,, \quad 4r - s > -2\,,$$

because $3r-2s=(2r-s)+(r-s)>-1-1=-2$, $3s-2r=(4s-r)-s-r>3-(r+1)-r=2$ and $4r-s>(2r-s)+2r>-1+2r>-1-\frac{2}{7}>-2$.

Corollary 2.1. *Assume the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. Moreover assume that the initial data fulfill (9) and (10). Then the solution (A,F) satisfies the Yang-Mills system (1),(2) with Cauchy data $(a,\dot{a})$ and the Lorenz gauge condition $\partial^\alpha A_\alpha=0$.*

Proof of the Corollary. The solution (A,F) does not necessarily fulfill the Lorenz gauge condition and (1), i.e. $F=F[A]$. If however the conditions (9) and (10) are assumed then these properties are satisfied, and (A,F) is a solution of the Yang-Mills system (1),(2) with Cauchy data $(a,\dot{a})$. This was shown in [ST], Remark 2. □

Let us fix some notation. We denote the Fourier transform with respect to space and time by $\widehat{\ }$. $\Box=\partial_t^2-\Delta$ is the d'Alembert operator, $a\pm:=a\pm\epsilon$ for a sufficiently small $\epsilon>0$, and $\langle\,\cdot\,\rangle:=(1+|\cdot|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

The standard wave-Sobolev spaces $H^{s,b}$ of Bourgain-Klainerman-Machedon type are the completion of the Schwarz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})$ with norm

$$\|u\|_{H^{s,b}}=\|\langle\xi\rangle^s\langle|\tau|-|\xi|\rangle^b\widehat{u}(\tau,\xi)\|_{L^2_{\tau\xi}}\,.$$

We also define $H^{s,b}_T$ as the space of the restrictions of functions in $H^{s,b}$ to $[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n$.

Let Λ^α, Λ^α_+ and Λ^α_- be the multipliers with symbols

$$\langle\xi\rangle^\alpha,\quad \langle|\tau|+|\xi|\rangle^\alpha,\quad \langle|\tau|-|\xi|\rangle^\alpha.$$

Similarly let D^α, D^α_+ and D^α_- be the multipliers with symbols

$$|\xi|^\alpha,\quad (|\tau|+|\xi|)^\alpha,\quad ||\tau|-|\xi||^\alpha,$$

respectively.

Let ∂ denote the collection of space and time derivatives.

If $u,v\in\mathcal{S}'$ and $\widehat{u},\widehat{v}$ are tempered functions, we write $u\preceq v$ iff $|\widehat{u}|\leq\widehat{v}$, and $\precsim$ means $\preceq$ up to a constant. If $u=(u^1,\dots,u^N)$ and $v=(v^1,\dots,v^N)$, then $u\preceq v$ (resp. $u\precsim v$) means $u^I\preceq v^I$ (resp. $u^I\precsim v^I$) for $I=1,\dots,N$.

3. Preliminaries

The Strichartz type estimates for the wave equation are given in the next proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *If $n\geq 2$ and*

$$2\leq q\leq\infty,\quad 2\leq r<\infty,\quad \frac{2}{q}\leq(n-1)\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r}\right),\tag{11}$$

then the following estimate holds:

$$\|u\|_{L^q_tL^r_x}\lesssim\|u\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{n}{r}-\frac{1}{q},\frac{1}{2}+}}\,,$$

especially in the case $n\geq 4$:

$$\|u\|_{L^2_tL^r_x}\lesssim\|u\|_{H^{\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{n}{r},\frac{1}{2}+}}$$

for $\frac{2(n-1)}{n-3}\leq r<\infty$.

Proof. This the Strichartz type estimate, which can be found for e.g. in [GV], Prop. 2.1, combined with the transfer principle. □

An immediate consequence is the following modified Strichartz estimate.

Proposition 3.2. *If $n \geq 4$, $2 \leq r \leq \frac{2(n-1)}{n-3}$, one has the estimate*

$$\|u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^r} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{\frac{n+1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r}),\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r})+}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{\frac{n+1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r}),\frac{1}{2}+}} .$$

Proof. The last estimate is trivial. For the first one we interpolate the trivial identity $\|u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} = \|u\|_{H^{0,0}}$ with the estimate

$$\|u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-3}}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{\frac{n+1}{2(n-1)},\frac{1}{2}+}} ,$$

which holds by Prop. 3.1. □

Proposition 3.3. *If $n = 3$, $2 \leq r < \infty$, the following estimate holds:*

$$\|u\|_{L_t^{2+} L_x^r} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{1-\frac{2}{r}+,\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{2}{r})+}} .$$

Proof. Interpolation of the special case $\|u\|_{L_t^{2+} L_x^{\infty-}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{1,\frac{1}{2}+}}$ with the trivial identity $\|u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} = \|u\|_{H^{0,0}}$ gives the result. □

The following product estimates for wave-Sobolev spaces are special cases of the very convenient much more general atlas by [AFS].

Proposition 3.4. *Let $n = 3$ and*
1. Assume

$$s_0+s_1+s_2 > 1\,,\ (s_0+s_1+s_2)+s_1+s_2 > \frac{3}{2}\,,\ s_0+s_1 \geq 0\,,\ s_0+s_2 \geq 0\,,\ s_1+s_2 \geq 0\,.$$

The following estimate holds:

$$\|uv\|_{H^{-s_0,0}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s_1,\frac{1}{2}-}} \|v\|_{H^{s_1,\frac{1}{2}-}} .$$

2.Assume

$$s_0+s_1+s_2 > 1\,,\ (s_0+s_1+s_2)+s_1+s_2 > 1\,,(s_0+s_1+s_2)+s_0+s_1 > 1\,,$$
$$(s_0+s_1+s_2)+s_0+s_2 > 1\,,\ s_0+s_1 \geq 0\,,\ s_0+s_2 \geq 0\,,\ s_1+s_2 \geq 0\,.$$

The following estimate holds:

$$\|uv\|_{H^{-s_0,-\frac{1}{2}+}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s_1,\frac{1}{2}+}} \|v\|_{H^{s_1,\frac{1}{2}+}} .$$

The following proposition was proven by [KT].

Proposition 3.5. *Let $n \geq 2$, and let (q,r) satisfy:*

$$2 \leq q \leq \infty, \quad 2 \leq r < \infty, \quad \frac{2}{q} \leq (n-1)\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r}\right)$$

Assume that

$$0 < \sigma < n - \frac{2n}{r} - \frac{4}{q},$$
$$s_1, s_2 < \frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{r} - \frac{1}{q},$$
$$s_1 + s_2 + \sigma = n - \frac{2n}{r} - \frac{2}{q}.$$

then

$$\|D^{-\sigma}(uv)\|_{L_t^{q/2} L_x^{r/2}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s_1,\frac{1}{2}+}} \|v\|_{H^{s_2,\frac{1}{2}+}} .$$

The following product estimate for wave-Sobolev spaces is a special case of the very convenient much more general atlas formulated by [AFS] in arbitrary dimension, but proven only in the case $1 \leq n \leq 3$. ([AFS] and [AFS1]). Therefore we have to give a proof.

Proposition 3.6. *Assume $n \geq 4$ and*

$$s_0+s_1+s_2 > \frac{n-1}{2}\,,\ (s_0+s_1+s_2)+s_1+s_2 > \frac{n}{2}\,,\ s_0+s_1 \geq 0\,,\ s_0+s_2 \geq 0\,,\ s_1+s_2 \geq 0\,.$$

The following estimate holds:

$$\|uv\|_{H^{-s_0,0}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s_1,\frac{1}{2}+}} \|v\|_{H^{s_2,\frac{1}{2}+}}\,.$$

Proof. We have to prove

$$I := \int_* \frac{\widehat{u}_1(\xi_1,\tau_1)}{\langle\xi_1\rangle^{s_1}\langle|\xi_1|-|\tau_1|\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+}} \frac{\widehat{u}_2(\xi_2,\tau_2)}{\langle\xi_2\rangle^{s_2}\langle|\xi_2|-|\tau_2|\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+}} \frac{\widehat{u}_0(\xi_0,\tau_0)}{\langle\xi_0\rangle^{s_0}} \lesssim \|u_1\|_{L^2_{xt}} \|u_2\|_{L^2_{xt}}\,.$$

Here * denotes integration over $\xi_0+\xi_1+\xi_2=0$ and $\tau_0+\tau_1+\tau_2=0$. Remark, that we may assume that the Fourier transforms are nonnegative. We consider different regions.

1. If $|\xi_0| \sim |\xi_1| \gtrsim |\xi_2|$ and $s_2 \geq 0$, we obtain

$$I \sim \int_* \frac{\widehat{u}_1(\xi_1,\tau_1)}{\langle\xi_1\rangle^{s_1+s_0}\langle|\xi_1|-|\tau_1|\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+}} \frac{\widehat{u}_2(\xi_2,\tau_2)}{\langle\xi_2\rangle^{s_2}\langle|\xi_2|-|\tau_2|\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+}} \widehat{u}_0(\xi_0,\tau_0)\,.$$

Thus we have to show

$$\|uv\|_{L^2_{xt}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s_1+s_0,\frac{1}{2}+}} \|v\|_{H^{s_1,\frac{1}{2}+}}\,.$$

By Prop. 3.1 we obtain

$$\|uv\|_{L^2_{xt}} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \|v\|_{L^2_t L^\infty_x} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}} \|v\|_{H^{\frac{n-1}{2}+,\frac{1}{2}+}}$$

and also

$$\|uv\|_{L^2_{xt}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{\frac{n-1}{2}+,\frac{1}{2}+}} \|v\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}}\,.$$

Bilinear interpolation gives for $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$:

$$\|uv\|_{L^2_{xt}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{\frac{n-1}{2}(1-\theta)+,\frac{1}{2}+}} \|v\|_{H^{\frac{n-1}{2}\theta+,\frac{1}{2}+}}\,,$$

so that

$$\|uv\|_{L^2_{xt}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s_1+s_0,\frac{1}{2}+}} \|v\|_{H^{s_2,\frac{1}{2}+}}\,,$$

if $s_0+s_1+s_2 > \frac{n-1}{2}$ and $s_1+s_0 \geq 0$.

2. If $|\xi_0| \sim |\xi_2| \gtrsim |\xi_1|$ and $s_1 \geq 0$, we obtain similarly

$$\|uv\|_{L^2_{xt}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s_2+s_0,\frac{1}{2}+}} \|v\|_{H^{s_1,\frac{1}{2}+}}\,,$$

if $s_0+s_1+s_2 > \frac{n-1}{2}$ and $s_2+s_0 \geq 0$.

3. If $|\xi_1| \geq |\xi_2|$, $s_0 \leq 0$ and $s_2 \geq 0$, we have $|\xi_0| \lesssim |\xi_1|$, so that $\langle\xi_0\rangle^{-s_0} \lesssim \langle\xi_1\rangle^{-s_0}$ and we obviously obtain the same result as in 1.

4. If $|\xi_1| \leq |\xi_2|$, $s_0 \leq 0$ and $s_1 \geq 0$, we obtain the same result as in 2.

5. If $|\xi_0| \sim |\xi_1| \gtrsim |\xi_2|$ and $s_2 \leq 0$ we obtain

$$I \lesssim \int_* \frac{\widehat{u}_1(\xi_1,\tau_1)}{\langle\xi_1\rangle^{s_0+s_1+s_2}\langle|\xi_1|-|\tau_1|\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+}} \frac{\widehat{u}_2(\xi_2,\tau_2)}{\langle|\xi_2|-|\tau_2|\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+}} \widehat{u}_0(\xi_0,\tau_0) \lesssim \|u_1\|_{L^2_{xt}} \|u_2\|_{L^2_{xt}}\,,$$

because under our asumption $s_0+s_1+s_2 > \frac{n-1}{2}$ we obtain by Prop. 3.1:

$$\|uv\|_{L^2_{xt}} \leq \|u\|_{L^2_t L^\infty_x} \|v\|_{L^\infty_x L^2_t} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s_0+s_1+s_2,\frac{1}{2}+}} \|v\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}}\,.$$

6. If $|\xi_1| \geq |\xi_2|$, $s_2 \leq 0$ and $s_0 \leq 0$, or

7. If $|\xi_0| \sim |\xi_2| \geq |\xi_1|$ and $s_1 \leq 0$, or

8. If $|\xi_1| \leq |\xi_2|$, $s_1 \leq 0$ and $s_0 \leq 0$, the same argument applies.

Thus we are done, if $s_0 \leq 0$, and also, if $s_0 \geq 0$, and $|\xi_0| \sim |\xi_2| \geq |\xi_1|$ or $|\xi_0| \sim |\xi_1| \geq |\xi_2|$.

It remains to consider the following case: $|\xi_0| \ll |\xi_1| \sim |\xi_2|$ and $s_0 > 0$. We apply Prop. 3.5 which gives

$$\|uv\|_{H^{-s_0,0}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s_1,\frac{1}{2}+}} \|v\|_{H^{s_2,\frac{1}{2}+}} ,$$

under the conditions $0 < s_0 < \frac{n}{2} - 1$, $s_0 + s_1 + s_2 = \frac{n-1}{2}$ and $s_1, s_2 < \frac{n-1}{4}$. The last condition is not necessary in our case $|\xi_1| \sim |\xi_2|$. Remark that this implies $s_1 + s_2 > \frac{1}{2}$, so that $s_0 + s_1 + s_2 + s_1 + s_2 > \frac{n}{2}$. , The second condition can now be replaced by $s_0 + s_1 + s_2 \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$, because we consider inhomogeneous spaces.

Finally we consider the case $|\xi_0| \ll |\xi_1| \sim |\xi_2|$ and $s_0 \geq \frac{n}{2} - 1$. If $s_0 > \frac{n}{2}$ and $s_1 + s_2 \geq 0$ we obtain the claimed estimate by Sobolev

$$\|uv\|_{H^{-s_0,0}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}} \|v\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}} \leq \|u\|_{H^{s_1,\frac{1}{2}+}} \|v\|_{H^{s_2,\frac{1}{2}+}} .$$

We now interpolate the special case

$$\|uv\|_{H^{-\frac{n}{2}-,0}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}} \|v\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}}$$

with the following estimate

$$\|uv\|_{H^{1-\frac{n}{2}+,0}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{\frac{1}{4}+,\frac{1}{2}+}} \|v\|_{H^{\frac{1}{4}+,\frac{1}{2}+}} ,$$

which follows from Prop. 3.5 . We obtain

$$\|uv\|_{H^{-s_0-,0}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{k+,\frac{1}{2}+}} \|v\|_{H^{k+,\frac{1}{2}+}} ,$$

where $s_0 = (1-\theta)\frac{n}{2} - \theta(1-\frac{n}{2}) = \frac{n}{2} - \theta \Leftrightarrow \theta = \frac{n}{2} - s_0$, $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, $k = \frac{\theta}{4} = \frac{n}{8} - \frac{s_0}{4}$. Using our asumption $(s_0 + s_1 + s_2) + s_1 + s_2 > \frac{n}{2} \Leftrightarrow \frac{n}{2} - s_0 < 2(s_1 + s_2)$, we obtain $0 \leq k < \frac{s_1+s_2}{2}$. Because $|\xi_1| \sim |\xi_2|$, we obtain

$$\|uv\|_{H^{-s_0,0}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s_1,\frac{1}{2}+}} \|v\|_{H^{s_2,\frac{1}{2}+}}$$

for $(s_0 + s_1 + s_2) + s_1 + s_2 > \frac{n}{2}$ and $s_1 + s_2 \geq 0$. □

Corollary 3.1. *Under the assumptions of Prop. 3.6*

$$\|uv\|_{H^{-s_0,0}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s_1,\frac{1}{2}-}} \|v\|_{H^{s_2,\frac{1}{2}-}} .$$

Proof. This follows by bilinear interpolation of the estimate of Prop. 3.6 with the estimate

$$\|uv\|_{H^{N,0}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{N,\frac{1}{4}+}} \|v\|_{H^{N,\frac{1}{4}+}} ,$$

where, say, $N > \frac{n}{2}$, which follows by Sobolev apart from the special case $s_1 = -s_2$, in which we interpolate with the estimate

$$\|uv\|_{H^{-N,0}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{N,\frac{1}{4}+}} \|v\|_{H^{-N,\frac{1}{4}+}}$$

in order to save the condition $s_1 = -s_2$. □

Corollary 3.2. *If $s_1 > \frac{n-1}{2}$, $2s_1 + s_0 > \frac{n}{2}$, $s_1 + s_0 \geq 0$, $0 \leq \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ the following estimate holds*

$$\|uv\|_{H^{-s_0,\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s_1+O(\epsilon),\frac{1}{2}+}} \|v\|_{H^{-s_0,\frac{1}{2}+}} .$$

Proof. By Prop. 3.6 we have

$$\|uv\|_{H^{-s_0,0}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s_1,\frac{1}{2}+}} \|v\|_{H^{-s_0,\frac{1}{2}+}} .$$

Moreover for $N > \frac{n}{2}$ we obtain by Sobolev

$$\|uv\|_{H^{-s_0,\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{N,\frac{1}{2}+}} \|v\|_{H^{-s_0,\frac{1}{2}+}} .$$

The result follows by interpolation. □

The following multiplication law is well-known:

Proposition 3.7. (Sobolev multiplication law) *Let $n \geq 2$, $s_0, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume $s_0 + s_1 + s_2 > \frac{n}{2}$, $s_0 + s_1 \geq 0$, $s_0 + s_2 \geq 0$, $s_1 + s_2 \geq 0$. Then the following product estimate holds:*

$$\|uv\|_{H^{-s_0}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s_1}} \|v\|_{H^{s_2}} .$$

Next we formulate a special case of the fundamental estimates for the $L_t^q L_x^p$-norm of the product of solutions of the wave equation due to Lee-Vargas [LV].

Proposition 3.8. *Assume $n \geq 4$ and*

$$\Box u = \Box v = 0$$

in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$. The estimate

$$\|uv\|_{L_t^q L_x^2} \lesssim (\|u(0)\|_{\dot{H}^{\alpha_1}} + \|(\partial_t u)(0)\|_{\dot{H}^{\alpha_1 - 1}})(\|v(0)\|_{\dot{H}^{\alpha_2}} + \|(\partial_t v)(0)\|_{\dot{H}^{\alpha_2 - 1}})$$

holds, provided $1 < q \leq 2$ and

$$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{q} , \tag{12}$$

$$\frac{1}{q} < \frac{n-1}{4} , \tag{13}$$

$$\alpha_1, \alpha_2 < \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{q} . \tag{14}$$

Proof. This follows by easy calculations from [LV], Theorem 1.1. □

Corollary 3.3. *If (12),(13) and (14) are satisfied for some $1 < q \leq 2$ and additonally $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \geq 0$, the following estimate holds*

$$\|uv\|_{H^{0, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{\alpha_1, \frac{1}{2}+}} \|v\|_{H^{\alpha_2, \frac{1}{2}+}} .$$

Proof. The proposition implies

$$\|e^{\pm itD} f e^{\pm_1 itD} g\|_{L_t^q L_x^2} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{\alpha_1}} \|g\|_{\dot{H}^{\alpha_2}} ,$$

so that the claimed estimate follows by the transfer principle combined with the estimate $\|uv\|_{H^{0, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}}} \lesssim \|uv\|_{L_t^q L_x^2}$. □

We now come to the definition of the solution spaces, which are very similar to the spaces introduced by [KS]. We prepare this by defining a modification of the standard $L_t^q L_x^r$-spaces.

Definition 3.1. *If $1 \leq q, r \leq \infty$, $u \in \mathcal{S}'$ and $\widehat{u}$ is a tempered function, set*

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^q \mathcal{L}_x^r} = \sup \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1+n}} |\widehat{u}(\tau, \xi)| \widehat{v}(\tau, \xi) \, d\tau d\xi : v \in \mathcal{S}, \widehat{v} \geq 0, \|v\|_{L_t^{q'} L_x^{r'}} = 1 \right\},$$

where $1 = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'}$ and $1 = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r'}$. Let $\mathcal{L}_t^q \mathcal{L}_x^r$ be the corresponding subspace of $\mathcal{S}'$.

This is a translation invariant norm and it only depends on the size of the Fourier transform. Observe that $\mathcal{L}_t^2 \mathcal{L}_x^2 = L_t^2 L_x^2$ and

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^q \mathcal{L}_x^r} \leq \|u\|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \quad \text{whenever} \quad \widehat{u} \geq 0.$$

Definition 3.2. *Our solution spaces are defined as follows:*
$\{(u, v) \in F^s \times G^r\}$ *, where*
1. in the case $n \geq 4$:

$$\|u\|_{F^s} := \|\Lambda_+ u\|_{H^{s-1, \frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} + \|\Lambda_+^{\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon} \Lambda^{-\frac{3}{4}+3\epsilon} \Lambda_-^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^1 \mathcal{L}_x^{4n}}$$
$$\|v\|_{G^r} := \|\Lambda_+ v\|_{H^{r-1, \frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} ,$$

2. in the case $n = 3$ *:*

$$\|u\|_{F^s} := \|\Lambda_+ u\|_{H^{s-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} + \|\Lambda_+^{\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-\frac{2}{7}-\frac{1}{2}+3\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{14}}$$

$$\|v\|_{G^r} := \|\Lambda_+ v\|_{H^{r-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} ,$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ *is sufficiently small.* F_T^s *and* G_T^r *denotes the restriction to the time interval* $[0,T]$*.*

This is a Banach space ([KS], Prop. 4.2).

Next we recall some fundamental properties of the $\mathcal{L}_t^q\mathcal{L}_x^r$-spaces, which were given by [KS], starting with a Hölder-type estimate.

Proposition 3.9. *Suppose* $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2}$ *and* $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2}$*, where the* q*'s and* r*'s all belong to* $[1,\infty]$*. Then*

$$\|uv\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^q\mathcal{L}_x^r} \leq \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{q_1}\mathcal{L}_x^{r_1}}\|v\|_{L_t^{q_2}L_x^{r_2}} .$$

for all v *with* $\widehat{v} \geq 0$*.*

The following duality argument holds.

Proposition 3.10. *Let* $1 \leq a,b,q,r \leq \infty$*.*

(a) *If*

$$\|G\|_{L_t^{a'}L_x^{b'}} \lesssim \|\Lambda^\alpha\Lambda_-^\beta G\|_{L_t^{q'}L_x^{r'}} , \tag{15}$$

then

$$\|F\|_{L_t^qL_x^r} \lesssim \|\Lambda^\alpha\Lambda_-^\beta F\|_{L_t^aL_x^b} .$$

(b) *If* (15) *holds for all* G *with* $\widehat{G} \geq 0$*, then*

$$\|F\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^q\mathcal{L}_x^r} \lesssim \|\Lambda^\alpha\Lambda_-^\beta F\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^a\mathcal{L}_x^b} .$$

for all F*.*

Proof. [KS], Proposition 4.5. □

The next proposition shows that a Sobolev type embedding also carries over to the $\mathcal{L}_t^q\mathcal{L}_x^r$-spaces.

Proposition 3.11. *Let* $1 \leq a,b,q,r \leq \infty$*. If*

$$\|\Lambda^\alpha\Lambda_-^\beta u\|_{L_t^qL_x^r} \lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^aL_x^b}$$

for all u *with* $\widehat{u} \geq 0$ *, then*

$$\|\Lambda^\alpha\Lambda_-^\beta u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^q\mathcal{L}_x^r} \lesssim \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^a\mathcal{L}_x^b} .$$

Proof. [KS], Cor. 4.6. □

The following result is also fundamental for the proof of our main theorem.

Proposition 3.12. *Let* $n \geq 4$ *. If* $\frac{2(n-1)}{n-3} \leq r < \infty$*,* $s = \frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{r} - \frac{1}{2}$ *and* $\theta > \frac{1}{2}$ *, then*

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^1\mathcal{L}_x^r} \lesssim \|\Lambda^s\Lambda_-^\theta u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^1\mathcal{L}_x^2} .$$

Proof. [KS], Lemma 4.8. □

A similar result is also true in the case $n = 3$. We prepare this by the following proposition.

Proposition 3.13. *If* $n = 3$ *,* $1 < p \leq q \leq 2$ *,* $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$ *and* $s = \frac{3}{p} - 2 + \frac{1}{q'}$ *, then*

$$\|\Lambda^{-s}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}-}u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^{q'}L_x^p}$$

for all u *with* $\widehat{u} \geq 0$ *.*

Proof. We adapt the proof of [KS], Prop. 4.7 in space dimension $n \geq 4$ to the case $n = 3$. Let $U := \Lambda^{-s}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}-} u$. Using the estimate

$$\|U\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \lesssim \| \int \widehat{U}(\tau,\xi) d\tau \|_{L_\xi^2}$$

for $\widehat{U} \geq 0$ we reduce the claimed estimate to

$$\int\int \langle\xi\rangle^{-s} \langle |\tau| - |\xi| \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}-} \widehat{u}(\tau,\xi) \check{f}(\xi) d\tau d\xi \lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^{q'} L_x^p} \|f\|_{L_x^2} \tag{16}$$

for all f with inverse Fourier transform $\check{f} \geq 0$. Define

$$\check{v}_\pm(\tau,\xi) = \langle\xi\rangle^{-s} \langle \tau \mp |\xi| \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}-} \check{f}(\xi)\,.$$

Then the left hand side of (16) is bounded by

$$\int\int u(v_+ + v_-) dt\, dx \lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^{q'} L_x^p} (\|v_+\|_{L_t^q L_x^{p'}} + \|v_-\|_{L_t^q L_x^{p'}})\,,$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. It remains to show

$$\|v_\pm\|_{L_t^q L_x^{p'}} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_x^2}\,.$$

Defining $\widehat{c}(\tau) := \langle\tau\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}-}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\check{v}_\pm(\tau,\xi) = \langle\xi\rangle^{-s} \widehat{c}(\tau \mp |\xi|) \check{f}(\xi) &= \langle\xi\rangle^{-s} \int c(t) e^{it(\tau \mp |\xi|)} dt \check{f}(\xi) \\ &= \int c(t) e^{it\tau} (\langle\xi\rangle^{-s} e^{\mp it|\xi|} \check{f}(\xi)) dt\,,\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$v_\pm(t,x) = c(t) \Lambda^{-s} e^{\pm itD} f(x)\,.$$

Since $c \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ we obtain for $\frac{1}{\tilde{q}} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q'}$:

$$\|v_\pm\|_{L_t^q L_x^{p'}} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s} e^{\pm itD} f\|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{p'}} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_x^2}\,,$$

where we applied Strichartz' estimate (Prop. 3.1) under the assumption $2 \leq \tilde{q} \leq \infty$, $2 \leq p' < \infty \;\Leftrightarrow\; 1 < p \leq 2$, $1 \leq q \leq 2$ and $\frac{2}{\tilde{q}} \leq 2(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p'}) \;\Leftrightarrow\; \frac{2}{q} - 1 \leq 2(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}) \;\Leftrightarrow\; p \leq q$. Here $s = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{3}{p'} - \frac{1}{\tilde{q}} = \frac{3}{p} - 2 + \frac{1}{q'}$. □

Corollary 3.4. *Under the assumptions of Prop. 3.13 :*

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^q \mathcal{L}_x^{p'}} \lesssim \|\Lambda^s \Lambda_-^{\frac{1}{2}+} u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^1 \mathcal{L}_x^2}\,,$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$.

Proof. This follows from Prop. 3.13 by use of Prop. 3.10. □

Corollary 3.5. *If* $n = 3$, $1 < q \leq 2$, $s = \frac{2}{q} - 1$, *then*

$$\|\Lambda^{-s} \Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}-} u\|_{L_t^{\infty-} L_x^2} \lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^{q'} L_x^q}$$

for all u *with* $\widehat{u} \geq 0$.

Proof. In the special case $p = q$ Prop. 3.13 gives

$$\|\Lambda^{-s} \Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}-} u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^{q'} L_x^q}$$

for $1 < q \leq 2$, $s = \frac{3}{q} - 2 + \frac{1}{q'} = \frac{2}{q} - 1$. Interpolation with the trivial identity $\|u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} = \|u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2}$ gives the result. □

Proposition 3.14. *If $n = 3$, $1 < q \leq 2$, $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$ and $s = \frac{2}{q} - 1$ the following estimate holds*

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^q \mathcal{L}_x^{q'}} \lesssim \|\Lambda^s \Lambda_-^{\frac{1}{2}+} u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{1+} \mathcal{L}_x^2} .$$

Proof. This follows from Corollary 3.5 by use of Prop. 3.10. □

We also need an elementary estimate which is used as a tool for replacing $H^{s,-\frac{1}{2}+}$-norms by $H^{s,-\frac{1}{2}-}$-norms.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$. Then*

$$\Lambda_-^{-\beta}(uv) \precsim \Lambda_-^{-\alpha-\beta}(\Lambda_+^{\alpha} u \Lambda_+^{\alpha} v) ,$$
$$\Lambda_-^{-\beta}(uv) \precsim \Lambda_-^{-\alpha-\beta}(u \Lambda^{\alpha} v) + u \Lambda^{-\beta} v$$

for all u and v with $\widehat{u}, \widehat{v} \geq 0$.

Proof. [KS], Lemma 8.10. □

Finally, we formulate the fundamental theorem which allows to reduce the local well-posedness for a system of nonlinear wave equations to suitable estimates for the nonlinearities. It is also essentially contained in the paper by [KS].

Proposition 3.15. *Let $u_0 \in H^s$, $u_1 \in H^{s-1}$, $v_0 \in H^r$, $v_1 \in H^{r-1}$ be given. Assume that*

$$\|\Lambda_+^{-1} \Lambda_-^{\epsilon-1} \mathcal{M}(u, \partial u, v, \partial v)\|_{F^s} \leq \omega_1(\|u\|_{F^s}, \|v\|_{G^r}) ,$$
$$\|\Lambda_+^{-1} \Lambda_-^{\epsilon-1} \mathcal{N}(u, \partial u, v, \partial v)\|_{G^r} \leq \omega_2(\|u\|_{F^s}, \|v\|_{G^r}) ,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\Lambda_+^{-1} \Lambda_-^{\epsilon-1} (\mathcal{M}(u, \partial u, v, \partial v)\|_{F^s} - \mathcal{M}(u', \partial u', \partial v'))\|_{F^s} \\ &+ \|\Lambda_+^{-1} \Lambda_-^{\epsilon-1} (\mathcal{N}(u, \partial u, v, \partial v)\|_{G^r} - \mathcal{N}(u', \partial u', v', \partial v')\|_{G^r} \\ &\leq \omega(\|u\|_{F^s}, \|u'\|_{F^s}, \|v\|_{G^r}, \|v'\|_{G^r})(\|u - u'\|_{F^s} + \|v - v'\|_{G^r}) , \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega, \omega_1, \omega_2$ are continuous functions with $\omega(0,0,0,0) = \omega_1(0,0) = \omega_2(0,0) = 0$. Then the Cauchy problem

$$\Box u = \mathcal{M}(u, \partial u, v, \partial v) \quad , \quad \Box v = \mathcal{N}(u, \partial u, v, \partial v)$$

with data

$$u(0) = u_0 , (\partial_t u)(0) = u_1 , v(0) = v_0 , (\partial_t v)(0) = v_1$$

is locally well-posed, i.e. , there exists $T > 0$, such that there exists a unique solution $u \in F_T^s$, $v \in G_T^r$.

Proof. This is proved by the contraction mapping principle provided the solution space fulfills suitable assumptions. The case of a single equation $\Box u = \mathcal{M}(u, \partial u)$ and the solution space $\mathcal{X}^s$ given by the norm $\|u\|_{\mathcal{X}^s} = \|\Lambda_+ u\|_{H^{s-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} + \|\Lambda^{\gamma} \Lambda_-^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^1 \mathcal{L}_x^{2n}}$, $\gamma > 0$ small , was proven by [KS], Theorems 5.4 and 5.5, Propositions 5.6 and 5.7. Our case is a straightforward modification of their results, thus we omit the proof. We just remark that, if $n = 3$, the only modification in the case of our solution space is the following estimate in the proof of [KS], Prop. 5.6:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Lambda_+^{\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon} \Lambda^{-\frac{2}{7}-\frac{1}{2}+3\epsilon} \Lambda_-^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}} \mathcal{L}_x^{14}} &\lesssim \|\Lambda_+^{\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon} \Lambda^{-\frac{2}{7}-\frac{1}{2}+3\epsilon} \Lambda_-^{1+} \Lambda^{\frac{6}{7}} u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^1 \mathcal{L}_x^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\Lambda_+ \Lambda^{\frac{4}{7}-1+5\epsilon} \Lambda_-^{1+} u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^1 \mathcal{L}_x^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\Lambda_+ \Lambda^{s-1} \Lambda_-^{1+} u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^1 \mathcal{L}_x^2} . \end{aligned}$$

The first estimate follows from Corollary 3.4, and the last estimate holds by our assumption $s > \frac{5}{7}$. □

4. Reformulation of the problem and null structure

The reformulation of the Yang-Mills equations and the reduction of our main theorem to nonlinear estimates is completely taken over from Tesfahun [Te] (cf. also the fundamental paper by Selberg and Tesfahun [ST]).

The standard null forms are given by

$$\begin{cases} Q_0(u,v) = \partial_\alpha u \partial^\alpha v = -\partial_t u \partial_t v + \partial_i u \partial^j v, \\ Q_{\alpha\beta}(u,v) = \partial_\alpha u \partial_\beta v - \partial_\beta u \partial_\alpha v. \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

For $\mathfrak{g}$-valued u, v, define a commutator version of null forms by

$$\begin{cases} Q_0[u,v] = [\partial_\alpha u, \partial^\alpha v] = Q_0(u,v) - Q_0(v,u), \\ Q_{\alpha\beta}[u,v] = [\partial_\alpha u, \partial_\beta v] - [\partial_\beta u, \partial_\alpha v] = Q_{\alpha\beta}(u,v) + Q_{\alpha\beta}(v,u). \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

Note the identity

$$[\partial_\alpha u, \partial_\beta u] = \frac{1}{2}\left([\partial_\alpha u, \partial_\beta u] - [\partial_\beta u, \partial_\alpha u]\right) = \frac{1}{2} Q_{\alpha\beta}[u,u]. \tag{19}$$

Define

$$\mathcal{Q}[u,v] = -\frac{1}{2} Q_{jk}\left[\Lambda^{-1}(R^j u^k - R^k u^j), v\right] - Q_{0j}\left[R^j u_0, v\right], \tag{20}$$

where $R_i = \Lambda^{-1}\partial_i$ is the Riesz transform.

We follow Tesfahun [Te] in the following generalizing his 3-dimensional results to arbitrary dimension $n \geq 3$.

We split the spatial part $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, ..., A_n)$ of the potential into divergence-free and curl-free parts and a smoother part:

$$\mathbf{A} = A^{df} + A^{cf} + \langle\nabla\rangle^{-2}\mathbf{A}, \tag{21}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (A^{df})^j &= R^k(R_j A_k - R_k A_j), \\ (A^{cf})^j &= -R_j R_k A^k. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.1. *(cf.* [Te]*,Lemma 1) In the Lorenz gauge we have the identities*

$$[A^\alpha, \partial_\alpha \phi] = \mathcal{Q}[\Lambda^{-1}\mathbf{A}, \phi] + [\Lambda^{-2} A^\alpha, \partial_\alpha \phi], \tag{22}$$

$$[\partial_t A^\alpha, \partial_\alpha \phi] = Q_{0i}[A^i, \phi]. \tag{23}$$

Proof. Writing

$$A^\alpha \partial_\alpha \phi = (-A_0 \partial_t \phi + A^{cf} \cdot \nabla\phi) + A^{df} \cdot \nabla\phi + \Lambda^{-2}\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla\phi$$

one easily checks using the Lorenz gauge $\partial_t A_0 = \Lambda R_k A^k$:

$$\begin{aligned} A^{cf} \cdot \nabla\phi &= -R_j R_k A^k \partial^j \phi = -\partial_t \Lambda^{-1} R_j A_0 \partial^j \phi \\ A_0 \partial_t \phi &= -\Lambda^{-2}\partial_j \partial^j A_0 \partial_t \phi + \Lambda^{-2} A_0 \partial_t \phi \\ &= -\partial_j(\Lambda^{-1} R^j A_0)\partial_t \phi + \Lambda^{-2} A_0 \partial_t \phi, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$-A_0 \partial_t \phi + A^{cf} \cdot \nabla\phi = -Q_{0j}(\Lambda^{-1} R^j A_0, \phi) - \Lambda^{-2} A_0 \partial_t \phi.$$

Next

$$\begin{aligned} A^{df} \cdot \nabla\phi &= R^k(R_jA_k - R_kA_j)\partial^j\phi \\ &= \Lambda^{-2}\partial^k\partial_jA_k\partial^j\phi + A_j\partial^j\phi \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\left(\Lambda^{-2}(\partial_j\partial^jA_k - \partial_j\partial_kA^j)\partial^k\phi - \Lambda^{-2}(\partial^k\partial_jA_k - \partial_k\partial^kA_j)\partial^j\phi\right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_j\Lambda^{-1}(R^jA_k - R_kA^j)\partial^k\phi - \partial^k\Lambda^{-1}(R_jA_k - R_kA_j)\partial^j\phi\right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}Q_{jk}(\Lambda^{-1}(R^jA^k - R^kA^j), \phi)\,. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to (22). For (23) we use the Lorenz gauge to obtain

$$[\partial_tA^\alpha, \partial_\alpha\phi] = [-\partial_tA_0, \partial_t\phi] + [\partial_tA^i, \partial_i\phi] = -[\partial_iA^i, \partial_i\phi] + [\partial_tA^i, \partial_i\phi] = Q_{0i}[A^i, \phi]\,.$$

□

Lemma 4.2. *(cf.* [Te]*,Lemma 2) In the Lorenz gauge the following identity holds:*

$$[A^\alpha, \partial_\beta A_\alpha] = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Gamma^i_\beta(A, \partial A, F, \partial F)\,,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^1_\beta &= -[A_0, \partial_\beta A_0] + [\Lambda^{-1}R_j(\partial_tA_0), \Lambda^{-1}R^j\partial_t(\partial_\beta A_0)]\,, \\ \Gamma^2_\beta &= \sum_{i,j} Q_{ij}[\Lambda^{-1}R_kA^k, \Lambda^{-1}R_j\partial_\beta A_i] + \sum_{i,j} Q_{ij}[\Lambda^{-1}R_k\partial_\beta A^k, \Lambda^{-1}R_jA_i]\,, \\ \Gamma^3_\beta &= \sum_j \Big([\Lambda^{-1}R^iF_{ji}, \Lambda^{-1}R^k\partial_\beta F_{jk}] + [\Lambda^{-1}R^iF_{ji}, \Lambda^{-1}\partial_\beta R^k[A_k, A_j]] \\ &\quad + [\Lambda^{-1}R^i[A_i, A_j], \Lambda^{-1}\partial_\beta R^kF_{jk}] + [\Lambda^{-1}R^i[A_i, A_j], \Lambda^{-1}\partial_\beta R^k[A_k, A_j]]\Big)\,, \\ \Gamma^4_\beta &= [\Lambda^{-2}\mathbf{A}, \partial_\beta\mathbf{A}] + [\mathbf{A}, \Lambda^{-2}\partial_\beta\mathbf{A}]\,. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We write

$$[A^\alpha, \partial_\beta A_\alpha] = -[A_0, \partial_\beta A_0] + [A^j, \partial_\beta A_j] = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Gamma^i_\beta(A, \partial A, F, \partial F)\,,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^1_\beta &= -[A_0, \partial_\beta A_0] + [A^{cf}, \partial_\beta A^{cf}]\,, \\ \Gamma^2_\beta &= [A^{cf}, \partial_\beta A^{df}] + [A^{df}, \partial_\beta A^{cf}]\,, \\ \Gamma^3_\beta &= [A^{df}, \partial_\beta A^{df}] \end{aligned}$$

and Γ^4_β as above.

For Γ^1_β we use $\partial_tA_0 = \Lambda R_kA^k$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -A_0\partial_\beta A_0 + A^{cf}\partial_\beta A^{cf} &= -A_0\partial_\beta A_0 + R_jR_kA^k\partial_\beta R^jR_kA^k \\ &= -A_0(\partial_\beta A_0) + \Lambda^{-1}R_j(\partial_tA_0)\Lambda^{-1}\partial_t(\partial_\beta A_0)\,, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the result. Concerning Γ^2_β we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A^{cf}\partial_\beta A^{df} &= -R^j(R_kA^k)\partial_\beta R^i(R_jA_i - R_iA_j) \\ &= -R^j(R_kA^k)R^i(\partial_\beta R_jA_i) + R^i(R_kA^k)R^j(\partial_\beta R_jA_i) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} Q_{ij}(\Lambda^{-1}R_kA^k, \Lambda^{-1}R_j\partial_\beta A_i)\,, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the claimed result. For Γ^3_β we use

$$F_{ji} := \partial_j A_i - \partial_i A_j + [A_j, A_i]\,,$$

so that

$$(A^{df})_j = R^i(R_j A_i - R_i A_j) = \Lambda^{-1} R^i F_{ji} + R^i(A_i A_j - A_j A_i)\,.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&(A^{df})^j \partial_\beta A^{df}_j \\
&= \sum_j \Lambda^{-1}(R^i F_{ji} + R^i(A_i A_j - A_j A_i))\partial_\beta \Lambda^{-1}(R^k F_{jk} + R^k(A_k A_j - A_j A_k)) \\
&= \sum_j \Big(\Lambda^{-1} R^i F_{ji} \Lambda^{-1} R^k \partial_\beta F_{jk} + \Lambda^{-1} R^i F_{ji} \Lambda^{-1} \partial_\beta R^k (A_k A_j - A_j A_k) \\
&\qquad + \Lambda^{-1} R^i (A_i A_j - A_j A_i) \Lambda^{-1} \partial_\beta R^k F_{jk} \\
&\qquad + \Lambda^{-1} R^i (A_i A_j - A_j A_i) \Lambda^{-1} \partial_\beta R^k (A_k A_j - A_j A_k)\Big)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain the claimed result. □

Now we refer to Tesfahun [Te], who showed that the system (6),(7) in Lorenz gauge can be written in the following form by use of Lemma 4.1, (19) and (20) for (6), and Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 for (7):

$$\begin{aligned}
\Box A_\beta &= \mathcal{M}_\beta(A, \partial_t A, F, \partial_t F), \\
\Box F_{\beta\gamma} &= \mathcal{N}_{\beta\gamma}(A, \partial_t A, F, \partial_t F),
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_\beta(A, \partial_t A, F, \partial_t F) = &-2\mathcal{Q}[\Lambda^{-1} A, A_\beta] + \sum_{i=1}^4 \Gamma^i_\beta(A, \partial A, F, \partial F) - 2[\Lambda^{-2} A^\alpha, \partial_\alpha A_\beta] \\
&- [A^\alpha, [A_\alpha, A_\beta]],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N}_{ij}(A, \partial_t A, F, \partial_t F) = &- 2\mathcal{Q}[\Lambda^{-1} A, F_{ij}] + 2\mathcal{Q}[\Lambda^{-1}\partial_j A, A_i] - 2\mathcal{Q}[\Lambda^{-1}\partial_i A, A_j] \\
&+ 2Q_0[A_i, A_j] + Q_{ij}[A^\alpha, A_\alpha] - 2[\Lambda^{-2} A^\alpha, \partial_\alpha F_{ij}] \\
&+ 2[\Lambda^{-2}\partial_j A^\alpha, \partial_\alpha A_i] - 2[\Lambda^{-2}\partial_i A^\alpha, \partial_\alpha A_j] \\
&- [A^\alpha, [A_\alpha, F_{ij}]] + 2[F_{\alpha i}, [A^\alpha, A_j]] - 2[F_{\alpha j}, [A^\alpha, A_i]] \\
&- 2[[A^\alpha, A_i], [A_\alpha, A_j]],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N}_{0i}(A, \partial_t A, F, \partial_t F) = &- 2\mathcal{Q}[\Lambda^{-1} A, F_{0i}] + 2\mathcal{Q}[\Lambda^{-1}\partial_i A, A_0] - 2Q_{0j}[A^j, A_i] \\
&+ 2Q_0[A_0, A_i] + Q_{0i}[A^\alpha, A_\alpha] - 2[\Lambda^{-2} A^\alpha, \partial_\alpha F_{0i}] \\
&+ 2[\Lambda^{-2}\partial_i A^\alpha, \partial_\alpha A_0] - [A^\alpha, [A_\alpha, F_{0i}]] + 2[F_{\alpha 0}, [A^\alpha, A_i]] \\
&- 2[F_{\alpha i}, [A^\alpha, A_0]] - 2[[A^\alpha, A_0], [A_\alpha, A_i]]
\end{aligned}$$

where Γ^i_β are defined in Lemma 4.2.

Now, looking at the terms in $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ and noting the fact that the Riesz transforms R_i are bounded in the spaces involved, the estimates in Proposition 3.15 reduce to proving (we remark, that due to the multilinear character of the nonlinearity the estimates for the difference can be treated exactly like the other

estimates) .
1. the corresponding estimates for the null forms Q_{ij} , Q_0 and $Q \in \{Q_{0i}, Q_{ij}\}$:

$$\big\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{\epsilon-1}Q[\Lambda^{-1}A, A]\big\|_{F^s} \lesssim \|A\|_{F^s}\|A\|_{F^s}, \tag{25}$$
$$\big\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{\epsilon-1}Q_{ij}[\Lambda^{-1}A, \Lambda^{-1}\partial A]\big\|_{F^s} \lesssim \|A\|_{F^s}\|A\|_{F^s}, \tag{26}$$
$$\big\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{\epsilon-1}Q[\Lambda^{-1}A, F]\big\|_{G^r} \lesssim \|A\|_{F^s}\|F\|_{G^r}, \tag{27}$$
$$\big\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{\epsilon-1}Q[A, A]\big\|_{G^r} \lesssim \|A\|_{F^s}\|A\|_{F^s}, \tag{28}$$
$$\big\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{\epsilon-1}Q_0[A, A]\big\|_{G^r} \lesssim \|A\|_{F^s}\|A\|_{F^s}, \tag{29}$$

the following estimate for Γ^1 and other bilinear terms

$$\big\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{\epsilon-1}\Gamma^1(A, \partial A)\big\|_{F^s} \lesssim \|A\|_{F^s}\|A\|_{F^s}, \tag{30}$$
$$\big\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{\epsilon-1}\Pi(A, \Lambda^{-2}\partial A)\big\|_{F^s} \lesssim \|A\|_{F^s}\|A\|_{F^s}, \tag{31}$$
$$\big\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{\epsilon-1}\Pi(\Lambda^{-2}A, \partial A)\big\|_{F^s} \lesssim \|A\|_{F^s}\|A\|_{F^s}, \tag{32}$$
$$\big\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{\epsilon-1}\Pi(\Lambda^{-1}F, \Lambda^{-1}\partial F)\big\|_{F^s} \lesssim \|F\|_{G^r}\|F\|_{G^r}, \tag{33}$$
$$\big\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{\epsilon-1}\Pi(\Lambda^{-2}A, \partial F)\big\|_{G^r} \lesssim \|A\|_{F^s}\|F\|_{G^r}, \tag{34}$$
$$\big\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{\epsilon-1}\Pi(\Lambda^{-1}A, \partial A)\big\|_{G^r} \lesssim \|A\|_{F^s}\|A\|_{F^s} \tag{35}$$

and
2. the following trilinear and quadrilinear estimates:

$$\big\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{\epsilon-1}\Pi(\Lambda^{-1}F, \Lambda^{-1}\partial(AA))\big\|_{F^s} \lesssim \|F\|_{X^{r,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|A\|_{F^s}\|A\|_{F^s}, \tag{36}$$
$$\big\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{\epsilon-1}\Pi(\Lambda^{-1}\partial F, \Lambda^{-1}(AA))\big\|_{F^s} \lesssim \|F\|_{X^{r,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|A\|_{F^s}\|A\|_{F^s}, \tag{37}$$
$$\big\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{\epsilon-1}\Pi(\Lambda^{-1}(AA), \Lambda^{-1}\partial(AA))\big\|_{F^s} \lesssim \|A\|_{F^s}\|A\|_{F^s}\|A\|_{F^s}\|A\|_{F^s}, \tag{38}$$
$$\big\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{\epsilon-1}\Pi(A, A, A)\big\|_{F^s} \lesssim \|A\|_{F^s}\|A\|_{F^s}\|A\|_{F^s}, \tag{39}$$
$$\big\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{\epsilon-1}\Pi(A, A, F)\big\|_{G^r} \lesssim \|A\|_{F^s}\|A\|_{F^s}\|F\|_{G^r}, \tag{40}$$
$$\big\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{\epsilon-1}\Pi(A, A, A, A)\big\|_{G^r} \lesssim \|A\|_{F^s}\|A\|_{F^s}\|A\|_{F^s}\|A\|_{F^s}, \tag{41}$$

where $\Pi(\cdots)$ denotes a multilinear operator in its arguments.

The matrix commutator null forms are linear combinations of the ordinary ones, in view of (18). Since the matrix structure plays no role in the estimates under consideration, we reduce (25)–(29) to estimates to the ordinary null forms for $\mathbb{C}$-valued functions u and v (as in (17)).

The null forms above satisfy the following estimates.

Lemma 4.3. *The following estimates hold for* $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ *and* $Q = Q_{0i}$ *or* $Q = Q_{ij}$*:*

$$Q_0(u,v) \precsim D_+^{1-\alpha} D_-^{1-\alpha}(D_+^\alpha u D_+^\alpha v) + (D_+ D_-^{1-\alpha} u)(D_+^\alpha v) + (D_+^\alpha u)(D_+ D_-^{1-\alpha} v) \tag{42}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_0(u,v) \precsim\ & D_-^{1-\alpha}(D_+ u D_+^\alpha v) + D_-^{1-\alpha}(D_+^\alpha u D_+ v) \\ & + (D_+ D_-^{1-\alpha} u)(D_+^\alpha v) + (D_+^\alpha u)(D_+ D_-^{1-\alpha} v) \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

$$Q(u,v) \precsim D_+^{\frac12} D_-^{\frac12}(D_+^{\frac12} u D_+^{\frac12} v) + D_+^{\frac12}(D_+^{\frac12} D_-^{\frac12} u D_+^{\frac12} v) + D_+^{\frac12}(D_+^{\frac12} u D_+^{\frac12} D_-^{\frac12} v) \tag{44}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q(u,v) \precsim\ & D_+^{\frac12-2\epsilon} D_-^{\frac12-2\epsilon}(D_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon} u D_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon} v) + D_+^{\frac12-2\epsilon}(D_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon} D_-^{\frac12-2\epsilon} u D_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon} v) \\ & + D_+^{\frac12-2\epsilon}(D_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon} u D_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon} D_-^{\frac12-2\epsilon} v) \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q(u,v) \precsim\ & D_-^{\frac12-2\epsilon}(D_+ u D_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon} v) + D_-^{\frac12-2\epsilon}(D_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon} u D_+ v) + (D_+ D_-^{\frac12-2\epsilon} u)(D_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon} v) \\ & + (D_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon} u)(D_+ D_-^{\frac12-2\epsilon} v) + (D_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon} D_-^{\frac12-2\epsilon} u)(D_+ v) \\ & + (D_+ u)(D_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon} D_-^{\frac12-2\epsilon} v) \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Proof. (42) is Lemma 7.6 in [KS], and (44) follows immediately from [KMBT], Prop. 1. (45) follows by interpolating the estimate for the symbol $q = q(\tau,\xi,\lambda,\eta)$ of [KMBT], Prop. 1 which led to (44) with its trivial bound $q \lesssim (|\tau|+|\xi|)(|\lambda|+|\eta|)$. (43) and (46) follow by the fractional Leibniz rule for Λ_+ and D_+ from (42) and (45), respectively. □

Next we consider the term Γ^1_β . We may ignore its matrix form and treat

$$\Gamma^1_k(A_0,,\partial_k A_0) = -A_0(\partial_k A_0) + \Lambda^{-1} R_j(\partial_t A_0)\Lambda^{-1} R^j \partial_t(\partial_k A_0))$$

for $k = 1, ..., n$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^1_0(A_0,,\partial^i A_i) &= -A_0(\partial_0 A_0) + \Lambda^{-1} R_j(\partial_t A_0)\Lambda^{-1} R^j \partial_t(\partial_0 A_0)) \\ &= -A_0(\partial^i A_i) + \Lambda^{-1} R_j(\partial_t A_0)\Lambda^{-1} R^j \partial_t(\partial^i A_i))\,, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the Lorenz gauge $\partial_0 A_0 = \partial^i A_i$ in the last line in order to eliminate one time derivative. Thus we have to consider

$$\Gamma^1(u,v) = -uv + \Lambda^{-1} R_j(\partial_t u)\Lambda^{-1} R^j(\partial_t v)\,,$$

where $u = A_0$ and $v = \partial^i A_i$ or $v = \partial_k A_0$.

The proof of the following theorem was essentially given by Tesfahun [Te]. In fact the detection of this null structure was the main progress of his paper over Selberg-Tesfahun [ST].

Lemma 4.4. *The following estimate holds:*

$$\Gamma^1(u,v) \precsim \Gamma^1_1(u,v) + \Gamma^1_2(u,v) + (\Lambda^{-2}u)v + u(\Lambda^{-2}v)\,,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^1_1(u,v) = {} & D^{\frac12-2\epsilon} D_-^{\frac12-2\epsilon}(D^{-\frac12+2\epsilon} u\, D^{-\frac12+2\epsilon} v) + D^{\frac12-2\epsilon}(D^{-\frac12+2\epsilon} D_-^{\frac12-2\epsilon} u D^{-\frac12+2\epsilon} v) \\ & + D^{\frac12-2\epsilon}(D^{-\frac12+2\epsilon} u\, D^{-\frac12+2\epsilon} D_-^{\frac12-2\epsilon} v) \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^1_2(u,v) = {} & D_+^{\frac12-2\epsilon} D_-^{\frac12-2\epsilon}(D_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-1} u D_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-1} v) + D_+ D_-^{\frac12-2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-1} u\, D_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-1} v \\ & + D_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-1} u\, D_+ D_-^{\frac12-2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-1} v \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lesssim {} & D_-^{\frac12-2\epsilon}(D_+\Lambda^{-1} u D_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-1} v) + D_-^{\frac12-2\epsilon}(D_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-1} u D_+\Lambda^{-1} v) \\ & + D_+ D_-^{\frac12-2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-1} u\, D_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-1} v + D_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-1} u\, D_+ D_-^{\frac12-2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-1} v\,. \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Proof. $\Gamma^1(u,v)$ has the symbol

$$p(\xi,\tau,\eta,\lambda) = -1 + \frac{\langle\xi,\eta\rangle\tau\lambda}{\langle\xi\rangle^2\langle\eta\rangle^2} = \left(-1 + \frac{\langle\xi,\eta\rangle\langle\xi,\eta\rangle}{\langle\xi\rangle^2\langle\eta\rangle^2} + \frac{(\tau\lambda - \langle\xi,\eta\rangle)\langle\xi,\eta\rangle}{\langle\xi\rangle^2\langle\eta\rangle^2}\right) = I + II$$

Now we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |I| &= \left|\frac{|\xi|^2|\eta|^2\cos^2\angle(\xi,\eta)}{\langle\xi\rangle^2\langle\eta\rangle^2} - 1\right| \\ &\le \left|\frac{\langle\xi\rangle^2\langle\eta\rangle^2\cos^2\angle(\xi,\eta)}{\langle\xi\rangle^2\langle\eta\rangle^2} - 1\right| + \left|\frac{|\xi|^2|\eta|^2 - \langle\xi\rangle^2\langle\eta\rangle^2}{\langle\xi\rangle^2\langle\eta\rangle^2}\right| \\ &= \sin^2\angle(\xi,\eta) + \left|\frac{|\xi|^2|\eta|^2 - \langle\xi\rangle^2\langle\eta\rangle^2}{\langle\xi\rangle^2\langle\eta\rangle^2}\right|, \end{aligned}$$

where $\angle(\xi,\eta)$ denotes the angle between ξ and η . We have

$$\left|\frac{|\xi|^2|\eta|^2 - \langle\xi\rangle^2\langle\eta\rangle^2}{\langle\xi\rangle^2\langle\eta\rangle^2}\right| = \frac{|\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2 + 1}{\langle\xi\rangle^2\langle\eta\rangle^2} \le \frac{1}{\langle\xi\rangle^2} + \frac{1}{\langle\eta\rangle^2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\sin^2\angle(\xi,\eta) \le |\sin\angle(\xi,\eta)|^{1-4\epsilon} = |1-\cos\angle(\xi,\eta)|^{\frac12-2\epsilon}|1+\cos\angle(\xi,\eta)|^{\frac12-2\epsilon} \\ &\lesssim \frac{|\xi+\eta|^{\frac12-2\epsilon}}{|\xi|^{\frac12-2\epsilon}|\eta|^{\frac12-2\epsilon}}\left(||\tau|-|\xi||^{\frac12-2\epsilon} + ||\lambda|-|\eta||^{\frac12-2\epsilon} + ||\tau+\lambda|-|\xi+\eta|^{\frac12-2\epsilon}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \le \epsilon \le \frac14$ by [KMBT], Proof of proposition 1. Thus the operator belonging to the symbol I is controlled by $\Gamma^1_1(u,v) + (\Lambda^{-2}u)v + u(\Lambda^{-2}v)$. Moreover

$$|II| \le \frac{|\tau\lambda - \langle\xi,\eta\rangle|}{\langle\xi\rangle\langle\eta\rangle}.$$

This is the symbol of $Q_0(\Lambda^{-1}u, \Lambda^{-1}v)$, which is controlled by $\Gamma^1_2(u,v)$ by (42). Finally, (49) follows by the fractional Leibniz rule for D_+ from (48). □

5. Proof of the nonlinear estimates in the case $n \ge 4$

Important remark: We assume in the following that the Fourier transforms of u and v are nonnegative. This means no loss of the generality, because the norms involved in the desired estimates do only depend on the size of the Fourier transforms.

Proof of (29). We recall (43) for $\alpha = \epsilon$:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_0(u,v) \precsim\ & D_-^{1-\epsilon}(D_+uD_+^\epsilon v) + D_-^{1-\epsilon}(D_+^\epsilon uD_+v) \\ &+ (D_+D_-^{1-\epsilon}u)(D_+^\epsilon v) + (D_+^\epsilon u)(D_+D_-^{1-\epsilon}v). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have to show the following estimates and remark that we only have to consider the first and third term, because the other terms are equivalent by symmetry.
1. For the first term it suffices to show

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{-1+\epsilon}\Lambda^{r-1}\Lambda_+D_-^{1-\epsilon}(D_+uD_+^\epsilon v)\|_{H^{0,\frac12+\epsilon}} \\ &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{s-1}\Lambda_+u\|_{H^{0,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|\Lambda^{s-1}\Lambda_+v\|_{H^{0,\frac12+\epsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

This follows from

$$\|uv\|_{H^{r-1,\frac12+\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s-1,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-\epsilon,\frac12+\epsilon}},$$

which is a consequence of Prop. 3.7, if $2s - r - \epsilon > \frac{n}{2}$ and $s \geq r$. This is fulfilled for a sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ under our assumptions.
2. For the second term we show

$$\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{-1+\epsilon}\Lambda^{r-1}\Lambda_+(D_+D_-^{1-\epsilon}uD_+^{\epsilon}v)\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{s-1}\Lambda_+u\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|\Lambda^{s-1}\Lambda_+v\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .$$

Thus it suffices to show

$$\|uv\|_{H^{r-1,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s-1,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}$$

which is a consequence of Prop. 3.7 as in 1. under the same assumptions. □

Proof of (28). We use (45). By symmetry we only have to consider the first two terms.
1. For the first term it suffices to show

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{-1+\epsilon}\Lambda^{r-1}\Lambda_+\Lambda_+^{\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}(\Lambda_+^{\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}u\Lambda_+^{\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}v)\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\\ &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{s-1}\Lambda_+u\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|\Lambda^{s-1}\Lambda_+v\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .\end{aligned}$$

This follows from

$$\|uv\|_{H^{r-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,0}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} ,$$

which is a consequence of Prop. 3.6 with parameters $s_0 = \frac{1}{2} - r + 2\epsilon$, $s_1 = s_2 = s - \frac{1}{2} - 2\epsilon$, so that $s_0 + s_1 + s_2 > \frac{n-1}{2}$, if $2s - r > \frac{n}{2}$, and $s_0 + s_1 + s_2 + s_1 + s_2 > \frac{n}{2}$, which holds under our assumptions.
2. For the second term we show

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{-1+\epsilon}\Lambda^{r-1}\Lambda_+\Lambda_+^{\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}(\Lambda_+^{\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}u\Lambda_+^{\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}v)\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\\ &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{s-1}\Lambda_+u\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|\Lambda^{s-1}\Lambda_+v\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .\end{aligned}$$

Using $\Lambda_-^{4\epsilon}u \precsim \Lambda_+^{4\epsilon}u$ it suffices to show

$$\|uv\|_{H^{r-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon,-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,0}}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}$$

which is a consequence of Prop. 3.6 as in 1. under the assumptions $2s - r > \frac{n}{2}$ and $3s - 2r > \frac{n+1}{2}$, which hold under our assumptions. □

Proof of (33). **A.** We start with the first part of the F^s-norm. As before it is easy to see that we can reduce to

$$\|uv\|_{H^{s-1,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{r+1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{r,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .$$

Thus we have to show the following estimates

$$\|uv\|_{H^{0,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{r-s+2,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{r,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} , \tag{50}$$

$$\|uv\|_{H^{0,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{r+1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{r-s+1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} . \tag{51}$$

1. If $2r - s > \frac{n}{2} - \frac{5}{2}$ both estimates are fulfilled by Proposition 3.6 .
2. Assume now that $2r - s \leq \frac{n}{2} - \frac{5}{2}$ and that our assumption $2r - s > \frac{n}{2} > \frac{n}{2} - 3$ is fulfilled. We want to apply Corollary 3.3 with the parameters $\alpha_1 = r - s + 2$, $\alpha_2 = r$ for (50), and $\alpha_1 = r + 1$, $\alpha_2 = r - s + 1$ for (51). We check the conditions of that Corollary in either case.

- (12): The condition $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 2r - s + 2 = \frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{q}$ can be met with a suitable $1 < q \leq 2$ under our assumption $\frac{n}{2} - \frac{5}{2} \geq 2r - s > \frac{n}{2} - 3$.
- (13): Using this q the condition $\frac{1}{q} < \frac{n-1}{4}$ is equivalent to $2r - s > \frac{n}{4} - \frac{7}{4}$. If $n = 4$ this means $2r - s > -\frac{3}{4}$, whereas for $n \geq 5$ it is automatically fulfilled under our assumption $2r - s > \frac{n}{2} - 3$.
- (14): We need using (12) $r + 1 < \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{q} = \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - n + 4 + 4r - 2s$, which is equivalent to our assumption $3r - s > \frac{n}{2} - \frac{7}{2}$.

B. For the second part of the F^s-norm we reduce to

$$\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{-1+\epsilon}\Lambda_+^{\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-\frac{3}{4}+3\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{\frac{1}{2}}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^1\mathcal{L}_x^{4n}} \lesssim \|\Lambda_+ u\|_{H^{r,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|\Lambda_+ v\|_{H^{r-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}$$

and further to

$$\|\Lambda^{-\frac{5}{4}+7\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^1\mathcal{L}_x^{4n}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{r+1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{r,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .$$

By Prop. 3.12 we obtain

$$\|\Lambda^{-\frac{5}{4}+7\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^1\mathcal{L}_x^{4n}} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+7\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^1\mathcal{L}_x^2} ,$$

which by the fractional Leibniz rule, Sobolev and Prop. 3.1 can be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+7\epsilon}u)v\|_{L_t^1L_x^2} &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+7\epsilon}u\|_{L_t^2L_x^{\frac{4n}{2n-7}}}\|v\|_{L_t^2L_x^{\frac{4n}{7}}} \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{3}{4}+7\epsilon}u\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{7}{4},0}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{r+1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{r,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} , \\
\|u\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+7\epsilon}v\|_{L_t^1L_x^2} &\lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^2L_x^{4n}}\|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+7\epsilon}v\|_{L_t^2L_x^{\frac{4n}{2n-1}}} \\
&\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{3}{4},\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{7}{4}+7\epsilon,0}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{r+1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{r,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of (30). **A.** For the first part of the F^s-norm it is sufficient to show

$$\|\Gamma^1(u,v)\|_{H^{s-1,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{F^s}\|\Lambda_+ v\|_{H^{s-2,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} . \tag{52}$$

We use Lemma 4.4. Assume that u and v have frequencies ≥ 1 , so that $\Lambda_+^\alpha u \sim D_+^\alpha u$.
a. We first consider $\Gamma_2^1(u,v)$. By (49) it suffices to show the following estimates, all of which are consequences of Proposition 3.6 and Corollary 3.1 .

$$\|D_+\Lambda^{-1}uD_+^{\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-1}v\|_{H^{s-1,0}} \lesssim \|\Lambda_+ u\|_{H^{s-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|\Lambda_+ v\|_{H^{s-2,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}},,$$

which follows from

$$\|uv\|_{H^{s-1,0}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|uv\|_{H^{s-1,0}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} , \\
\|uv\|_{H^{s-1,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s,0}}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} , \\
\|uv\|_{H^{s-1,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-1,0}} .
\end{aligned}$$

b. Next we consider $\Gamma_1^1(u,v)$. By (47) we may reduce estimates for the first and third terms on the right hand side to

$$\begin{aligned}
\|uv\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,0}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} , \\
\|uv\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,0}} .
\end{aligned}$$

Both estimates follow from Corollary 3.1 . The second term is reduced to the following estimate

$$\|uv\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}u\|_{F^s}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .$$

By the fractional Leibniz rule we have to show the following two estimates:
b1.

$$\|(\Lambda^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}u)v\|_{H^{0,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,0}}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} ,$$

which follows from Corollary 3.1.
b2.

$$\|u(\Lambda^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}v)\|_{H^{0,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}u\|_{F^s}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .$$

Using the definition of the F^s-norm this is implied by

$$\|uv\|_{H^{0,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} \lesssim (\|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{4}+5\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{2\epsilon}u\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x} + \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}u\|_{H^{s,0}})\|v\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} . \quad (53)$$

By Lemma 3.1 we have for $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$:

$$\|uv\|_{H^{0,-\beta}} \lesssim \|(\Lambda^{-\beta}u)v\|_{H^{0,0}} + \|(\Lambda^{\alpha}u)v\|_{H^{0,-\beta-\alpha}}$$

for u, v with $\widehat{u}, \widehat{v} \geq 0$. Thus for the choice $\beta = \frac{1}{2} - 2\epsilon$, $\alpha = \frac{5}{2}\epsilon$ the estimate (53) reduces to the following two estimates:

b2.1.

$$\|(\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}u)v\|_{H^{0,0}} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}u\|_{H^{s,0}}\|v\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}$$
$$\iff \quad \|uv\|_{H^{0,0}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+1-3\epsilon,0}}\|v\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .$$

This follows by Sobolev, because $s > \frac{n}{2} - 1$.

b2.2.

$$\|(\Lambda^{\frac{5}{2}\epsilon}u)v\|_{H^{0,-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{4}+3\epsilon}u\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x}\|v\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}$$
$$\iff \quad \|uv\|_{H^{0,-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{4}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}}u\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x}\|v\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .$$

This follows from Prop. 3.11 and Prop. 3.9:

$$\|uv\|_{H^{0,-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}} \lesssim \|uv\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^2_x} \lesssim \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^\infty_x}\|v\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{4}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}}u\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x}\|u\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .$$

Let us now consider the case where the frequencies of u or v are ≤ 1. We use the crude bound

$$\Gamma^1(u, v) \precsim uv .$$

If u has low frequencies we obtain by Prop. 3.7:

$$\|\Gamma^1(u, v)\|_{H^{s-1,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}+,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}$$
$$\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .$$

Similarly we treat the case where v has low frequencies.

c. Finally consider $(\Lambda^{-2}u)v$ and $u(\Lambda^{-2}v)$. It suffices to show

$$\|(\Lambda^{-2}u)v\|_{H^{s-1,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} ,$$
$$\|u(\Lambda^{-2}v)\|_{H^{s-1,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .$$

Both follow easily from Prop. 3.7 under our asumption $s > \frac{n}{2} - 1$.

B. Now we consider the second part of the F^s-norm. We want to show

$$\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{-1+\epsilon}\Lambda_+^{\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-\frac{3}{4}+3\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma^1(u, v)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x} \lesssim \|u\|_{F^s}\|\Lambda_+ v\|_{H^{s-2,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} . \quad (54)$$

We use Lemma 4.4 .

a. We first consider $\Gamma^1_2(u, v)$.

1. The estimate for the first term on the right hand side of (48) reduces to

$$\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{-1+\epsilon}\Lambda_+^{\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-\frac{3}{4}+3\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{\frac{1}{2}}D_+^{\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}D_-^{\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}(D_+^{\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-1}uD_+^{\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-1}v)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x}$$
$$\lesssim \|u\|_{F^s}\|\Lambda_+ v\|_{H^{s-2,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}$$

and therefore we only have to prove

$$\|\Lambda^{-\frac{3}{4}+3\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|\Lambda_+ v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} . \quad (55)$$

This follows from Proposition 3.5 with parameters $q = 2$, $r = 8n$, $\sigma = \frac{3}{4} - 3\epsilon$, $s_1 = \frac{n}{2} - \frac{5}{8} - \epsilon$ and $s_2 = \frac{n}{2} - \frac{11}{8} + 5\epsilon$. The claimed estimate follows, because $s_1 < s + \frac{1}{2} - 2\epsilon$ and $s_2 < s - \frac{1}{2} - 2\epsilon$ under our assumption $s > \frac{n}{2} - \frac{7}{8}$.

2. For the second term we have to show

$$\|\Lambda^{-\frac{5}{4}+5\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s,0}}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} ,$$

which by Lemma 3.1 reduces to

$$\|\Lambda^{-\frac{5}{4}+5\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s-2\epsilon,0}}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-4\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .$$

We obtain by Proposition 3.12

$$\|\Lambda^{-\frac{5}{4}+5\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+5\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^2_x} ,$$

so that by the fractional Leibniz rule this requires the following estimates:
2.1.

$$\|u\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+5\epsilon}v\|_{L^1_tL^2_x} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2_tL^n_x}\|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+5\epsilon}v\|_{L^2_tL^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}_x} .$$

By Sobolev the first factor is bounded by $\|u\|_{H^{s-2\epsilon,0}}$ for $s > \frac{n}{2}-1$. For the second factor we use Proposition 3.2, which gives

$$\|v\|_{L^2_tL^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}_x} \lesssim \|v\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2n},\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} , \tag{56}$$

thus

$$\|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+5\epsilon}v\|_{L^2_tL^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}_x} \lesssim \|v\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{2n}+5\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \lesssim \|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-4\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} ,$$

because $s > \frac{n}{2}-\frac{7}{8}$ and $n \geq 4$.
2.2.

$$\|(\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+5\epsilon}u)v\|_{L^1_tL^2_x} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+5\epsilon}u\|_{L^2_tL^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}_x}\|v\|_{L^2_tL^n_x} .$$

The first factor is bounded by Sobolev by $\|u\|_{H^{s-2\epsilon,0}}$ for $s > \frac{n}{2}-1$ and the second factor in the case $n \geq 5$ by Proposition 3.1

$$\|v\|_{L^2_tL^n_x} \lesssim \|v\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \lesssim \|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-4\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} ,$$

whereas in the case $n = 4$ we have to use (56) , which implies

$$\|v\|_{L^2_tL^4_x} \lesssim \|v\|_{H^{\frac{5}{8},\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \leq \|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-4\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}$$

under our assumption $s > \frac{9}{8}$.
3.

$$\|\Lambda^{-\frac{5}{4}+5\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-1,0}} .$$

By Lemma 3.1 this reduces to the following estimate:

$$\|\Lambda^{-\frac{5}{4}+5\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-4\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-1-4\epsilon,0}} . \tag{57}$$

We obtain by Proposition 3.12

$$\|\Lambda^{-\frac{5}{4}+5\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+5\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^2_x} ,$$

so that by Leibniz' rule we argue as follows:
3.1. By Sobolev and Strichartz (Proposition 3.1) we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+5\epsilon}u)v\|_{L^1_tL^2_x} &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+5\epsilon}u\|_{L^2_tL^{\frac{2n}{n-4}}}\|v\|_{L^2_tL^{\frac{n}{2}}_x} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{2}+5\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-2,0}} ,\end{aligned}$$

so that the desired estimate follows for $s > \frac{n}{2}-1$.
3.2. Using Proposition 3.1 again we also obtain

$$\|u\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+5\epsilon}v\|_{L^1_tL^2_x} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2_tL^\infty_x}\|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+5\epsilon}v\|_{L^2_tL^2_x} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-2+5\epsilon,0}} ,$$

which is sufficient for $s > \frac{n}{2}-1$.
b. Next we consider Γ^1_1 . First assume that the frequencies of u and v are ≥ 1.

1. The estimate for the first term on the right hand side of (47) reduces to (55).
2. The second term on the right hand side of (47) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\Lambda^{-\frac{3}{4}+3\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}(\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}u\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}v)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x}\\&\lesssim (\|u\|_{H^{s,0}}+\|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}+5\epsilon}u\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x})\|v\|_{H^{s-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\,.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1 this requires

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\Lambda^{-\frac{3}{4}+3\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}(\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}u\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}v)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x}\\&\lesssim (\|u\|_{H^{s-2\epsilon,0}}+\|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}+3\epsilon}u\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x})\|v\|_{H^{s-1-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\,.\end{aligned}\tag{58}$$

We start with Proposition 3.12

$$\|\Lambda^{-\frac{3}{4}+3\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}(\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}u\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}v)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x}\lesssim\|\Lambda^{-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{3}{2}+3\epsilon}(\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}u\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}v)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^2_x}\tag{59}$$

and use the fractional Leibniz rule to reduce to
2.1.

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{3}{2}+3\epsilon}\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}u)(\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}v)\|_{L^1_tL^2_x}&\lesssim\|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{3}{2}+3\epsilon}\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}u\|_{L^2_tL^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}_x}\|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}v\|_{L^2_tL^n_x}\\&\lesssim\|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{3}{2}+3\epsilon}\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}u\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\|v\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-2+2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\\&\lesssim\|u\|_{H^{s-2\epsilon,0}}\,\|v\|_{H^{s-1-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\,.\end{aligned}$$

This is implied by Sobolev and a direct application of Proposition 3.1, which is possible for $n\geq 5$, as one easily checks. In the case $n=4$ we use Prop. 3.2, which gives

$$\|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}v\|_{L^2_tL^4_x}\lesssim\|v\|_{H^{\frac{1}{8}+2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\leq\|v\|_{H^{s-1-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}$$

under our assumption $s>\frac{9}{8}$.
2.2. By use of Prop. 3.9 for the first step and Cor. 3.11 for the second step we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}u)(\Lambda^{-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{3}{2}+3\epsilon}\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}v)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^2_x}\\&\lesssim\|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}u\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^\infty_x}\|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{3}{2}+3\epsilon}\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}v\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x}\\&\lesssim\|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+3\epsilon}u\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x}\|v\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-2+5\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\\&\lesssim\|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+5\epsilon}u\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x}\|v\|_{H^{s-1-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\,.\end{aligned}$$

3. The estimate (58) has to be replaced by

$$\|\Lambda^{-\frac{3}{4}+3\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}(\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}u\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}v)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x}\lesssim\|u\|_{H^{s-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-1-2\epsilon,0}}\,.\tag{60}$$

We argue similarly as for 2. We first apply (59) and reduce to the following estimates:
3.1. By Sobolev and Prop.3.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{3}{2}+3\epsilon}\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}u)(\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}v)\|_{L^1_tL^2_x}\\&\lesssim\|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{3}{2}+3\epsilon}\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}u\|_{L^2_tL^{\frac{2n}{n-3}}_x}\|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}v\|_{L^2_tL^{\frac{2n}{3}}_x}\\&\lesssim\|u\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-1+3\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-2+2\epsilon,0}}\\&\lesssim\|u\|_{H^{s-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\,\|v\|_{H^{s-1-2\epsilon,0}}\,.\end{aligned}$$

3.2. Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}u(\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{3}{2}+3\epsilon}\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}v)\|_{L_t^1L_x^2}\\&\lesssim\|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}u\|_{L_t^2L_x^\infty}\|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{3}{2}+3\epsilon}\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}v\|_{L_t^2L_x^2}\\&\lesssim\|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}u\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}v\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{3}{2}+3\epsilon,0}}\\&\lesssim\|u\|_{H^{s-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\ \|v\|_{H^{s-1,0}}\ .\end{aligned}$$

4. Finally, we consider the case where u and/or v have frequency ≤ 1 . trivially the symbol of Γ_1^1 is bounded, so that $\Gamma_1^1(u,v)\precsim uv$. Thus it suffices to show

$$\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{-1+\epsilon}\Lambda_+^{\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-\frac{3}{4}+3\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{\frac{1}{2}}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^1\mathcal{L}_x^{4n}}\lesssim\|u\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\ .$$

We crudely estimate the left hand side by

$$\|\Lambda^{-\frac{5}{4}+5\epsilon}(uv)\|_{L_t^1L_x^{4n}}\lesssim\|u\|_{H^{s_1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s_2,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}$$

by use of Proposition 3.5, where s_1 and s_2 have to fulfill $s_1+s_2\geq n-\frac{5}{2}+5\epsilon$ and $s_1,s_2<\frac{n}{2}-\frac{5}{8}$. If u has frequency ≤ 1 choose $s_1=\frac{n}{2}-\frac{5}{8}-\epsilon$, $s_2=\frac{n}{2}-\frac{15}{8}+6\epsilon<s-1$. The value of s_1 is irrelevant in view of the low frequency assumption. If v has frequency ≤ 1 choose $s_1=\frac{n}{2}-1<s$, $s_2=\frac{n}{2}-\frac{3}{2}+5\epsilon$, where the value of s_2 is irrelevant.
c. According to Lemma 4.4 we finally have to consider $(\Lambda^{-2}u)v$ and $u(\Lambda^{-2}v)$. We have to show

$$\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{-1+\epsilon}\Lambda_+^{\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-\frac{3}{4}+3\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{\frac{1}{2}}((\Lambda^{-2}u)v)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^1\mathcal{L}_x^{4n}}\lesssim\|\Lambda^{-2}u\|_{H^{s+2,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\ .$$

We argue as in 4. choosing $s_1=\frac{n}{2}-\frac{5}{8}-\epsilon<s+2$ and $s_2=\frac{n}{2}-\frac{15}{8}+6\epsilon<s-1$. In the same way we also obtain

$$\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{-1+\epsilon}\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-\frac{3}{4}+3\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{\frac{1}{2}}(u(\Lambda^{-2}v))\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^1\mathcal{L}_x^{4n}}\lesssim\|u\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|\Lambda^{-2}v\|_{H^{s+1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}$$

by the choice $s_1=\frac{n}{2}-1<s$, $s_2=\frac{n}{2}-\frac{3}{2}+5\epsilon<s+1$. The proof of (30) is now complete. □

Proof of (25) and (26). We have to prove

$$\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{-1+\epsilon}Q(u,v)\|_{F^s}\lesssim\|\Lambda u\|_{F^s}\|\Lambda_+v\|_{H^{s-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\ .$$

We want to use (45).
A. The first part of the F^s-norm is handled as follows:
1. For the first term we reduce to the estimate

$$\|uv\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,0}}\lesssim\|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\ ,$$

which follows by Prop. 3.6.
2. For the last term we reduce to

$$\|uv\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}}\lesssim\|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,0}}\ ,$$

which holds by Prop. 3.6.
3. For the second term we want to prove

$$\|uv\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}}\lesssim\|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}u\|_{F^s}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\ ,$$

which follows from

$$\|uv\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}}\lesssim(\|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,0}}+\|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{4}+3\epsilon}u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^1\mathcal{L}_x^{4n}})\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\ ,$$

By Lemma 3.1 this reduces to the following two estimates:

$$\begin{aligned}\|uv\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}}&\lesssim(\|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{9}{2}\epsilon,0}}+\|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{4}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}}u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^1\mathcal{L}_x^{4n}})\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\ ,\\\|uv\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,0}}&\lesssim\|u\|_{H^{s+1-4\epsilon,0}}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\ .\end{aligned}$$

The last estimate follows immediately from Prop. 3.7. The first estimate is handled by the fractional Leibniz rule:
3.1. By Sobolev we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\Lambda^{s-\frac12-2\epsilon}v\|_{H^{0,-\frac12-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}} &\lesssim \|u\Lambda^{s-\frac12-2\epsilon}v\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^2_x}\\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^\infty_x}\|\Lambda^{s-\frac12-2\epsilon}v\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\\ &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac14+\frac{\epsilon}{2}}u\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac12-2\epsilon,\frac12+\epsilon}}\,.\end{aligned}$$

3.2. We obtain

$$\|(\Lambda^{s-\frac12-2\epsilon}u)v\|_{H^{0,-\frac12-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}}\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac12-\frac92\epsilon,0}}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac12-2\epsilon,\frac12+\epsilon}}$$

by Prop. 3.6 with parameters $s_0=1-\frac52\epsilon$, $s_1=0$, $s_2=s-\frac12-2\epsilon$, so that $s_0+s_1+s_2=s+\frac12-\frac92\epsilon>\frac{n-1}{2}$ and $(s_0+s_1+s_2)+s_1+s_2=2s-\frac{13}{2}\epsilon>\frac{n}{2}$, because $s>\frac{n}{2}-\frac78>\frac{n}{4}$ for $n\geq 4$.
B. The second part of the F^s-norm is handled as follows:
1. The first term on the right hand side of (45) requires the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{-1+\epsilon}\Lambda_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-\frac34+3\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{\frac12}\Lambda_+^{\frac12-2\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{\frac12-2\epsilon}(\Lambda_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon}u\Lambda_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon}v)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x}\\ &\lesssim \|\Lambda_+u\|_{H^{s,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|\Lambda_+v\|_{H^{s-1,\frac12+\epsilon}}\,,\end{aligned}$$

which reduces to

$$\|\Lambda^{-\frac34+3\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x}\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac12-2\epsilon,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac12-2\epsilon,\frac12+\epsilon}}\,.$$

This follows by Prop. 3.5 with parameters $q=2$, $r=8n$, $\sigma=\frac34-3\epsilon$. This requires $s_1,s_2<\frac{n}{2}-\frac58$ and $s_1+s_2\geq n-2+3\epsilon$. We choose $s_1=\frac{n}{2}-\frac58-\epsilon<s+\frac12-2\epsilon$, $s_2=\frac{n}{2}-\frac{11}{8}+4\epsilon<s-\frac12-2\epsilon$.
2. The estimate for the second term reduces to

$$\|\Lambda^{-\frac34+2\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac12-\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x}\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac12-4\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac12+2\epsilon}u\|_{F^s}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac12-4\epsilon,\frac12+\epsilon}}\cdot,$$

where we used Lemma 3.1. By Prop. 3.12 we obtain the following bound for the left hand side: $\|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac32+2\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^2_x}$. Using the fractional Leibniz rule we estimate:
2.1.

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac32+2\epsilon}v\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^2_x}&\lesssim \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^\infty_x}\|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}+2\epsilon}v\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\\ &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac12-4\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac12+2\epsilon}u\|_{F^s}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac12-4\epsilon,\frac12+\epsilon}}\,.\end{aligned}$$

2.2.

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac32+2\epsilon}\,u)v\|_{L^1_tL^2_x}&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac32+2\epsilon}u\|_{L^2_tL^p_x}\|v\|_{L^2_tL^r_x}\\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac12-4\epsilon,0}}\|v\|_{L^2_tL^r_x}\,,\end{aligned}$$

where $\frac1p=\frac12-\frac{\frac98-4\epsilon}{n}$ and $\frac1r=\frac{\frac98-4\epsilon}{n}$, so that by Sobolev $H^{s+\frac12-4\epsilon}\hookrightarrow H^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac32+2\epsilon,p}$ for $s>\frac{n}{2}-\frac78$. For the last factor we may apply Prop. 3.1 directly in the case $n\geq 5$, which gives

$$\|v\|_{L^2_tL^r_x}\lesssim \|v\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac98-\frac12+4\epsilon,\frac12+\epsilon}}\lesssim \|v\|_{H^{s-\frac12-4\epsilon,\frac12+\epsilon}}\,,$$

whereas in the case $n=4$ we obtain by Prop. 3.2 :

$$\|v\|_{L^2_tL^r_x}\lesssim \|v\|_{H^{\frac{n+1}{2}(\frac12-\frac1r),\frac12+\epsilon}}\lesssim \|v\|_{H^{s-\frac12-4\epsilon,\frac12+\epsilon}}\,,$$

as one easily checks.
3. The last term on the right hand side of (45) is reduced to

$$\|\Lambda^{-\frac34+2\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac12-\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x}\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac12-4\epsilon,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac12-4\epsilon,0}}\,,$$

As before we obtain the following bound for the left hand side: $\|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{3}{2}+2\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^2_x}$.
Using the fractional Leibniz rule we estimate:
3.1. By Sobolev and Prop. 3.1 we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{3}{2}+2\epsilon})u)v\|_{L^1_tL^2_x} &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{3}{2}+2\epsilon}u\|_{L^2_tL^r_x}\|v\|_{L^2_tL^p_x}\\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-4\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-4\epsilon,0}}\ ,\end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{r}=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{11}{8n}$ and $\frac{1}{p}=\frac{11}{8n}$, so that by Sobolev $H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-4\epsilon}\hookrightarrow L^p$ for $s>\frac{n}{2}-\frac{7}{8}$.
For the first factor we may apply Prop. 3.1, which gives

$$\|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{3}{2}+2\epsilon}u\|_{L^2_tL^r_x}\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{5}{8}+2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-4\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\ ,$$

as one easily checks.
3.2. Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}\|u(\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{3}{2}+2\epsilon}v)\|_{L^1_tL^2_x} &\lesssim \|u\|_{L^2_tL^r_x}\|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{3}{2}+2\epsilon}v\|_{L^2_tL^q_x}\\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-4\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-4\epsilon,0}}\ ,\end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{q}=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{5}{8n}$ and $\frac{1}{r}=\frac{5}{8n}$, so that by Sobolev $H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-4\epsilon}\hookrightarrow H^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{3}{2}+2\epsilon,q}$ for $s>\frac{n}{2}-\frac{7}{8}$. For the first factor we may apply Prop. 3.1, which gives

$$\|u\|_{L^2_tL^r_x}\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{5}{8}-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\lesssim \|v\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-4\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\ .$$

□

Proof of (27). We may reduce to

$$\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{\epsilon-1}\Lambda_+Q(u,v)\|_{H^{r,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\lesssim \|\Lambda u\|_{F^s}\|\Lambda_+v\|_{H^{r-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\ .$$

Now we use (46) with $\epsilon=0$ for $Q(u,v)$ and estimate the six terms as follows:
1. The estimate for the first term is reduced to (using the trivial estimate $\Lambda_-^{2\epsilon}u\precsim\Lambda_+^{2\epsilon}u$) :

$$\|uv\|_{H^{r-1+2\epsilon,0}}\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{r-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\ ,$$

which follows from Prop. 3.6.
2. The estimate for the second term reduces to

$$\|uv\|_{H^{r-1,2\epsilon}}\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{r-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\ ,$$

which is a consequence of Cor. 3.2 under our assumption $2s-r>\frac{n}{2}$.
3. The third term requires

$$\|uv\|_{H^{r-1,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}}\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s,0}}\|v\|_{H^{r-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\ .$$

4. The forth term similarly reduces to

$$\|uv\|_{H^{r-1,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}}\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{r-1,0}}\ .$$

6. The estimate for the sixth term similarly follows from

$$\|uv\|_{H^{r-1,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}}\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{r-\frac{1}{2},0}}\ .$$

These three estimates follow from Cor. 3.1.
5. The fifth term is the most complicated one. It follows from

$$\|uv\|_{H^{r-1,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}}\lesssim (\|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2},0}}+\|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{4}+5\epsilon}u\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x})\|v\|_{H^{r-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\ .$$

By use of Lemma 3.1 we obtain

$$\|uv\|_{H^{r-1,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}}\lesssim \|(\Lambda^{3\epsilon}u)v\|_{H^{r-1,-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}}+\|(\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}u)v\|_{H^{r-1,0}}\ .$$

The last term is easily estimated by Sobolev for $s>\frac{n}{2}-1$:

$$\|(\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}u)v\|_{H^{r-1,0}}\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+1-2\epsilon,0}}\|v\|_{H^{r-1,\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}}\ .$$

For the first term we want to show

$$\|uv\|_{H^{r-1,-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}} \lesssim (\|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{4}+2\epsilon}u\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x} + \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-3\epsilon,0}})\|v\|_{H^{r-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \tag{61}$$

Consider first the case $r \geq \frac{3}{2}$. (61) is handled by the fractional Leibniz rule as follows:
1.

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\Lambda^{r-1}v\|_{H^{0,-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}} &\lesssim \|u\Lambda^{r-1}v\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^2_x} \lesssim \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^\infty_x}\|\Lambda^{r-1}v\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \\ &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{4}+2\epsilon}u\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x}\|v\|_{H^{r-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} ,\end{aligned}$$

where we used Prop. 3.11 and Prop. 3.9 .
2. We obtain the estimate

$$\|(\Lambda^{r-1}u)v\|_{H^{0,-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-3\epsilon,0}}\|v\|_{H^{r-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} , \tag{62}$$

by Prop. 3.6 under our assumptions $s > \frac{n}{2} - 1$, $r \geq \frac{3}{2}$ and $s > r - \frac{3}{2}$, in which case one easily checks the necessary conditions. Thus under these assumptions we proved (61).
Next we consider the case $r \leq \frac{1}{2}$. (61) follows by duality from the estimate

$$\|uv\|_{H^{1-r,-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}} \lesssim (\|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{4}+2\epsilon}u\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x} + \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-3\epsilon,0}})\|v\|_{H^{1-r,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .$$

Using the fractional Leibniz rule we obtain

$$\|(\Lambda^{1-r}u)v\|_{H^{0,-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-3\epsilon,0}}\|v\|_{H^{1-r,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}$$

by Prop. 3.6 under the assumptions $s > \frac{n}{2}-1$ and $r \leq \frac{1}{2}$ (and $s > \frac{1}{2}-r$). Moreover similarly as (62) :

$$\|u\Lambda^{1-r}v\|_{H^{0,-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{4}+2\epsilon}u\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x}\|v\|_{H^{1-r,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .$$

Thus we have proven (61) for $r \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $s > \frac{n}{2} - 1$ as well.
By interpolation we also obtain this estimate in the remaining case $\frac{1}{2} < r < \frac{3}{2}$.
□

Proof of (31) and (32). (31) reduces to the following estimates

$$\|uv\|_{H^{s-1,-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s+1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} ,$$

which easily follows from Prop. 3.7, because $(1-s)+s+(s+1) = s+2 > \frac{n}{2}+1$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Lambda^{-\frac{5}{4}+5\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x} &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-\frac{5}{4}+7\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x} \\ &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+7\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^2_x} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s,0}}\|v\|_{H^{s+1,0}} ,\end{aligned}$$

where we used Prop. 3.12 and Prop. 3.7, because $(2-\frac{n}{2}-7\epsilon)+s+(s+1) > \frac{n}{2}+1$.
The estimate (32) is proven in exactly the same way. □

Proof of (34) and (35). (34) reduces to the following estimate

$$\|uv\|_{H^{r-1,-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+2,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{r-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} ,$$

which follows from Prop. 3.7, because $s+2 > \frac{n}{2}+1$. Similarly (35) can be proven. □

Proof of (36). **A.** The estimate for the first part of the F^s-norm reduces to

$$\|uvw\|_{H^{s-1,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{r+1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|w\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .$$

We first assume $s \leq \frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{8}$. In a first step we apply Cor. 3.1, using our assumptions $s > \frac{n}{2} - \frac{7}{8}$ and $r > \frac{n}{2} - \frac{7}{4}$. By elementary calculations we obtain

$$\|uvw\|_{H^{s-1,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{r+1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|vw\|_{H^{2s-\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{8},0}} .$$

For the second step we obtain by Prop. 3.6

$$\|vw\|_{H^{2s-\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{8},0}} \lesssim \|v\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|w\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .$$

If however $s > \frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{8}$ we obtain similarly by easy calculations

$$\|uvw\|_{H^{s-1,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{r+1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|vw\|_{H^{s,0}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{r+1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|v\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|w\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .$$

B. The estimate for the second part of the F^s-norm reduces to

$$\|\Lambda^{-\frac{5}{4}+5\epsilon} \Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} (uvw)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t \mathcal{L}^{4n}_x} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{r+1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|v\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|w\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .$$

By Prop. 3.12 we obtain

$$\|\Lambda^{-\frac{5}{4}+5\epsilon} \Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} (uvw)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t \mathcal{L}^{4n}_x} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+7\epsilon} \Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} (uvw)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t \mathcal{L}^2_x} ,$$

which by the fractional Leibniz rule and symmetry in v and w is estimated as follows:
1. By Sobolev and Prop. 3.1 we obtain under our assumption $s > \frac{n}{2} - \frac{7}{8}$ and $r > \frac{n}{2} - \frac{7}{4}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+7\epsilon} u) vw\|_{L^1_t L^2_x} &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+7\epsilon} u\|_{L^\infty_t L^{\frac{4n}{2n-5}}_x} \|v\|_{L^2_t L^{\frac{8n}{5}}_x} \|w\|_{L^2_t L^{\frac{8n}{5}}_x} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{r+1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|v\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|w\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} . \end{aligned}$$

2. Similarly we also obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+7\epsilon} v) w\|_{L^1_t L^2_x} &\lesssim \|u\|_{L^2_t L^{2n}_x} \|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+7\epsilon} v\|_{L^\infty_t L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}_x} \|w\|_{L^2_t L^{2n}_x} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{r+1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|v\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|w\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} . \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of (37). **A.** We may reduce to

$$\|u\Lambda^{-1}(vw)\|_{H^{s-1,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{r,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|v\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|w\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .$$

In the case $\frac{n}{2} - \frac{7}{8} < s \leq \frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$ we use Cor. 3.1 and Prop. 3.6 and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\Lambda^{-1}(vw)\|_{H^{s-1,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{r,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|\Lambda^{-1}(vw)\|_{H^{-\frac{n}{2}+2s+\frac{3}{2},0}} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{r,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|v\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|w\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} . \end{aligned}$$

In the case $s > \frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$ we obtain similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\Lambda^{-1}(vw)\|_{H^{s-1,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{r,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|\Lambda^{-1}(vw)\|_{H^{s+1,0}} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{r,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|v\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|w\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} . \end{aligned}$$

B. We have to control

$$\|\Lambda^{-\frac{5}{4}+5\epsilon} \Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} (u\Lambda^{-1}(vw))\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t \mathcal{L}^{4n}_x} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+7\epsilon} (u\Lambda^{-1}(vw))\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t \mathcal{L}^2_x} ,$$

where we used Prop. 3.12. By the fractional Leibniz rule we have to consider two terms.
1. By Sobolev and Prop. 3.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+7\epsilon} u)\Lambda^{-1}(vw)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t \mathcal{L}^2_x} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+7\epsilon} u\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \|\Lambda^{-1}(vw)\|_{L^1_t L^\infty_x} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{r,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|v\|_{L^2_t L^{\frac{8n}{3}}_x} \|w\|_{L^2_t L^{\frac{8n}{3}}_x} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{r,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|v\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|w\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} . \end{aligned}$$

2. We have to estimate $\|u\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-3+7\epsilon}(vw)\|_{L^1_tL^2_x}$, which in the case $n \geq 6$ by symmetry and the fractional Leibniz rule reduces to $\|u(\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-3+7\epsilon}v)w\|_{L^1_tL^2_x}$. By Sobolev and Prop. 3.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|u(\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-3+7\epsilon}v)w\|_{L^1_tL^2_x} &\lesssim \|u\|_{L^2_tL^{\frac{4n}{5}}_x}\|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-3+7\epsilon}v\|_{L^\infty_tL^{\frac{8n}{4n-17}}_x}\|w\|_{L^2_tL^{\frac{8n}{7}}_x}\\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{r,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|w\|_{H^{s,\frac12+\epsilon}}\,.\end{aligned}$$

If $4 \leq n \leq 5$ we obtain by the same means

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-3+7\epsilon}(vw)\|_{L^1_tL^2_x} &\lesssim \|u\|_{L^\infty_tL^{\frac{4n}{7}}_x}\|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-3+7\epsilon}(vw)\|_{L^1_tL^{\frac{4n}{2n-7}}_x}\\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{r,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|vw\|_{L^1_tL^{\frac{4n}{5}}_x}\\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{r,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{L^2_tL^{\frac{8n}{5}}_x}\|w\|_{L^2_tL^{\frac{8n}{5}}_x}\\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{r,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|w\|_{H^{s,\frac12+\epsilon}}\,.\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of (39). **A.** We have to show the estimate

$$\|uvw\|_{H^{s-1,-\frac12+2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|w\|_{H^{s,\frac12+\epsilon}}\,.$$

It is sufficient to consider the (minimal) value $s = \frac{n}{2} - 1 + \epsilon$, which immediately implies this for any larger s. This follows from Cor. 3.1 and Prop. 3.6 :

$$\begin{aligned}\|uvw\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-2+\epsilon,-\frac12+2\epsilon}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-1+\epsilon,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|vw\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac32+\epsilon,0}}\\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-1+\epsilon,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-1+\epsilon,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|w\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-1+\epsilon,\frac12+\epsilon}}\,.\end{aligned}$$

B. For the second part of the F^s-norm we use Prop. 3.12 and obtain

$$\|\Lambda^{-\frac54+5\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac12+\epsilon}(uvw)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^{4n}_x} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+7\epsilon}(uvw))\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^2_x}\,.$$

Now by symmetry we only have to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+7\epsilon}u)vw\|_{L^1_tL^2_x} &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+7\epsilon}u\|_{L^\infty_tL^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}_x}\|v\|_{L^2_tL^{2n}_x}\|w\|_{L^2_tL^{2n}_x}\\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|w\|_{H^{s,\frac12+\epsilon}}\,.\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of (40). It suffices to show

$$\|uvw\|_{H^{r-1,-\frac12+2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|w\|_{H^{r,\frac12+\epsilon}}\,,$$

which follows by Cor. 3.1 and Prop. 3.6 in the case $r \leq \frac{n}{2} - \frac12$ from

$$\|uvw\|_{H^{r-1,-\frac12+2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|uv\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac32+\epsilon,0}}\|w\|_{H^{r,\frac12+\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|w\|_{H^{r,\frac12+\epsilon}}\,,$$

whereas in the case $r > \frac{n}{2} - \frac12$ we obtain

$$\|uvw\|_{H^{r-1,-\frac12+2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|uv\|_{H^{r-1,0}}\|w\|_{H^{r,\frac12+\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|w\|_{H^{r,\frac12+\epsilon}}\,,$$

using our assumption $2s - r > \frac{n}{2}$. □

Proof of (38). **A.** For the first part of the F^s-norm we have to show

$$\|\Lambda^{-1}(uv)wz\|_{H^{s-1,-\frac12+2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|w\|_{H^{s,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|z\|_{H^{s,\frac12+\epsilon}}\,.$$

It suffices to consider the minimal value $s = \frac{n}{2} - \frac78 + \epsilon$, which by Cor. 3.1 and Prop. 3.7 can be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Lambda^{-1}(uv)wz\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{15}{8}+\epsilon,-\frac12+2\epsilon}} &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-1}(uv)\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac34+2\epsilon,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|wz\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{13}{8}+2\epsilon,0}}\\ &\lesssim \|uv\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac74+2\epsilon,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|wz\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{13}{8}+2\epsilon,0}}\\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac78+2\epsilon,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac78+2\epsilon,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|w\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac78+2\epsilon,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|z\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac78+2\epsilon,\frac12+\epsilon}}\,.\end{aligned}$$

B. By Prop. 3.12 and the fractional Leibniz rule we obtain

$$\|\Lambda^{-\frac{5}{4}+5\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}(\Lambda^{-1}(uv)wz))\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^1\mathcal{L}_x^{4n}} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+7\epsilon}((\Lambda^{-1}(uv)wz)))\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^1\mathcal{L}_x^2}$$
$$\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-3+7\epsilon}(uv)(wz)\|_{L_t^1L_x^2} + \|\Lambda^{-1}(uv)\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+7\epsilon}(wz)\|_{L_t^1L_x^2} .$$

1. In the case $n \geq 6$ we reduce the estimate for the first term by symmetry to

$$\|(\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-3+7\epsilon}u)vwz\|_{L_t^1L_x^2} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-3+7\epsilon}u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-4}}}\|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^n}\|w\|_{L_t^2L_x^{2n}}\|z\|_{L_t^2L_x^{2n}}$$
$$\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-1+7\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|w\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|z\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}$$

by Sobolev and Prop. 3.6, whereas for $4 \leq n \leq 5$ we similarly obtain

$$\|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-3+7\epsilon}(uv)(wz)\|_{L_t^1L_x^2} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-3+7\epsilon}(uv)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}}\|w\|_{L_t^2L_x^{2n}}\|z\|_{L_t^2L_x^{2n}}$$
$$\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+7\epsilon}(uv)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}\|w\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|z\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}$$
$$\lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^{\frac{n}{2}-1+4\epsilon}}\|v\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^{\frac{n}{2}-1+4\epsilon}}\|w\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|z\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}$$
$$\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-1+4\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-1+4\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|w\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|z\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}$$

by Prop. 3.7 and Prop. 3.6.

2. The estimate for the second term is as follows

$$\|\Lambda^{-1}(uv)\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+7\epsilon}(wz)\|_{L_t^1L_x^2}$$
$$\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-1}(uv)\|_{L_t^1L_x^\infty}\|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+7\epsilon}(wz)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}$$
$$\lesssim \|uv\|_{L_t^1L_x^{n+\epsilon}}(\|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+2\epsilon}w\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}}\|z\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^n} + \|w\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^n}\|\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2+7\epsilon}z\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}})$$
$$\lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^2L_x^{2(n+\epsilon)}}\|v\|_{L_t^2L_x^{2(n+\epsilon)}}\|w\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-1+2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|z\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-1+2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}$$
$$\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-1+O(\epsilon),\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-1+O(\epsilon),\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|w\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-1+7\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|z\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-1+7\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} ,$$

where we used Sobolev and Strichartz as before. □

Proof of (41). We have to show

$$\|uvwz\|_{H^{r-1,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|w\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|z\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .$$

By our assumption $2s - r > \frac{n}{2}$ the left hand side is bounded by the term $\|uvwz\|_{H^{2s-\frac{n}{2}-1-\epsilon,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}}$. It suffices to prove the remaining estimate for the (minimal) value $s = \frac{n}{2} - \frac{7}{8}$. By Cor. 3.1 and Prop. 3.7 we obtain

$$\|uvwz\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{11}{4}-\epsilon,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|uv\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{15}{8}+O(\epsilon),\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|wz\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{11}{8}+O(\epsilon),0}}$$
$$\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{7}{8},\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{7}{8},\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|w\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{7}{8},\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|z\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{7}{8},\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}$$

□

6. Proof of the nonlinear estimates in the case $n = 3$

Proof of (29). We recall (43) for $\alpha = \epsilon$:

$$Q_0(u,v) \precsim D_-^{1-\epsilon}(D_+uD_+^\epsilon v) + D_-^{1-\epsilon}(D_+^\epsilon uD_+v)$$
$$+ (D_+D_-^{1-\epsilon}u)(D_+^\epsilon v) + (D_+^\epsilon u)(D_+D_-^{1-\epsilon}v) .$$

Thus we have to show the following estimates and remark that we only have to consider the first and third term, because the last two terms are equivalent by symmetry.

1. For the first term it suffices to show

$$\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{-1+\epsilon}\Lambda^{r-1}\Lambda_+D_-^{1-\epsilon}(D_+uD_+^\epsilon v)\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}$$
$$\lesssim \|\Lambda^{s-1}\Lambda_+u\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|\Lambda^{s-1}\Lambda_+v\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .$$

This follows from

$$\|uv\|_{H^{r-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|v\|_{H^{s-\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} ,$$

which is a consequence of Prop. 3.7 under our conditions $s \geq r$ and $2s - r > \frac{3}{2}$.
2. For the second term we show

$$\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{-1+\epsilon}\Lambda^{r-1}\Lambda_+(D_+D_-^{1-\epsilon}uD_+^{\epsilon}v)\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{s-1}\Lambda_+u\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|\Lambda^{s-1}\Lambda_+v\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .$$

Thus it suffices to show

$$\|uv\|_{H^{r-1,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s-1,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} \|v\|_{H^{s-\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}$$

which is a consequence of Prop. 3.7 as in 1. under the same assumptions. □

Proof of (28). We use (45). Thus we have to show the following estimates and remark that we only have to consider the first two terms, because the last two terms are equivalent by symmetry.
1. For the first term it suffices to show

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{-1+\epsilon}\Lambda^{r-1}\Lambda_+\Lambda_+^{\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}(\Lambda_+^{\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}u\Lambda_+^{\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}v)\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\\ &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{s-1}\Lambda_+u\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|\Lambda^{s-1}\Lambda_+v\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .\end{aligned}$$

This follows from

$$\|uv\|_{H^{r-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,0}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} ,$$

which is a consequence of Prop. 3.4 with parameters $s_0 = \frac{1}{2} - r + 2\epsilon$, $s_1 = s_2 = s - \frac{1}{2} - 2\epsilon$, so that $s_0 + s_1 + s_2 > 1$, if $2s - r > \frac{3}{2}$, and $s_0 + s_1 + s_2 + s_1 + s_2 > \frac{3}{2}$, if $4s - r > 3$, which holds under our assumptions.
2. For the second term we show

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{-1+\epsilon}\Lambda^{r-1}\Lambda_+\Lambda_+^{\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}(\Lambda_+^{\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}u\Lambda_+^{\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}v)\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\\ &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{s-1}\Lambda_+u\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|\Lambda^{s-1}\Lambda_+v\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .\end{aligned}$$

Using $\Lambda_-^{4\epsilon}u \precsim \Lambda_+^{4\epsilon}u$ it suffices to show

$$\|uv\|_{H^{r-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon,-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,0}} \|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}$$

which is a consequence of Prop. 3.4 as in 1., if $2s - r > \frac{3}{2}$ and $3s - 2r > 2$, which holds under our assumptions. □

Proof of (33). **A.** We start with the first part of the F^s-norm. As before it is easy to see that we can reduce to

$$\|uv\|_{H^{s-1,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{r+1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|v\|_{H^{r,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .$$

This is a consequence of Prop. 3.4. One easily checks that it can be applied under the conditions $s \leq r + 1$, $2r - s > -1$, $4r - s > -2$ and $3r - 2s > -2$, all of which are satisfied under our assumptions.
B. For the second part of the F^s-norm we reduce to

$$\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{-1+\epsilon}\Lambda_+^{\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-\frac{2}{7}-\frac{1}{2}+3\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{\frac{1}{2}}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{14}} \lesssim \|\Lambda_+u\|_{H^{r,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|\Lambda_+v\|_{H^{r-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}$$

and further to

$$\|\Lambda^{-1-\frac{2}{7}+7\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{14}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{r+1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|v\|_{H^{r,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .$$

By Prop. 3.14 we obtain

$$\|\Lambda^{-1-\frac{2}{7}+7\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{14}} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{-\frac{3}{7}+7\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{1+}\mathcal{L}_x^{2}} .$$

Next we show for a suitable r_2 the estimate

$$\|\Lambda^{-\frac{3}{7}+7\epsilon}(uv)\|_{L^2_x} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{\frac{1}{7}-2\epsilon,r_2}} \|v\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{7}+7\epsilon}} ,$$

which by duality is equivalent to

$$\|uw\|_{H^{\frac{1}{7}-7\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{\frac{1}{7}-7\epsilon,r_2}} \|w\|_{H^{\frac{3}{7}-7\epsilon}} .$$

Using the fractional Leibniz rule we have to consider two terms:

$$\|(\Lambda^{\frac{1}{7}-7\epsilon}u)w\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{7}-7\epsilon}u\|_{L^{r_2}} \|w\|_{L^q} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{\frac{1}{7}-7\epsilon,r_2}} \|w\|_{H^{\frac{3}{7}-7\epsilon}} ,$$

where we choose $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{7} + \frac{7}{3}\epsilon$ and $\frac{1}{r_2} = \frac{1}{7} - \frac{7}{3}\epsilon$, so that by Sobolev $H^{\frac{3}{7}-7\epsilon} \hookrightarrow L^q$, and

$$\|u\Lambda^{\frac{1}{7}-7\epsilon}w\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^{r_1}} \|w\|_{H^{\frac{1}{7}-7\epsilon,q_1}} ,$$

where $\frac{1}{q_1} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{21}$, $\frac{1}{r_1} = \frac{2}{21}$, so that by Sobolev $H^{\frac{2}{7}} \hookrightarrow L^{q_1}$ and $H^{\frac{1}{7}-7\epsilon,r_2} \hookrightarrow L^{r_1}$. Thus we obtain by Prop. 3.3 :

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Lambda^{-\frac{3}{7}+7\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1+}_t \mathcal{L}^2_x} &\lesssim \|u\|_{L^{2+}_t H^{\frac{1}{7}-7\epsilon,r_2}_x} \|v\|_{L^2_t H^{-\frac{1}{7}+7\epsilon}} \\
&\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{1-\frac{2}{r_2}+\frac{1}{7}-7\epsilon+,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|v\|_{H^{r,0}} \\
&\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{\frac{6}{7}-\frac{7}{3}\epsilon+,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|v\|_{H^{r,0}} \\
&\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{r+1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|v\|_{H^{r,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}
\end{aligned}$$

for $r > -\frac{1}{7}$. □

Proof of (30). **A.** For the first part of the F^s-norm it is sufficient to show

$$\|\Gamma^1(u,v)\|_{H^{s-1,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{F^s} \|\Lambda_+ v\|_{H^{s-2,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \tag{63}$$

for the minimal value $s = \frac{5}{7}+$, because the estimate for any $s > \frac{5}{7}$ follows immediately. We use Lemma 4.4. Assume that u and v have frequencies ≥ 1 , so that $\Lambda^\alpha_+ u \sim D^\alpha_+ u$.
a. We first consider $\Gamma^1_2(u,v)$. By (48) it suffices to show the following estimates, all of which are consequences of Proposition 3.4.

$$\begin{aligned}
\|uv\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,0}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} , \\
\|uv\|_{H^{s-1,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s,0}} \|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} , \\
\|uv\|_{H^{s-1,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|v\|_{H^{s-1,0}} .
\end{aligned}$$

B. Next we consider $\Gamma^1_1(u,v)$. By (47) we may reduce the estimates for the first and third term on the right hand side to

$$\begin{aligned}
\|uv\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,0}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} , \\
\|uv\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,0}} .
\end{aligned}$$

Both estimates follow from Proposition 3.4. The second term is reduced to the following estimate

$$\|uv\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}u\|_{F^s} \|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .$$

By the fractional Leibniz rule we have to show the following two estimates:
b1.

$$\|(\Lambda^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}u)v\|_{H^{0,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,0}} \|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} ,$$

which follows from Proposition 3.4.
b2.

$$\begin{aligned}\|u(\Lambda^{s-\frac12-2\epsilon}v)\|_{H^{0,-\frac12+2\epsilon}} &\lesssim \|u(\Lambda^{s-\frac12-2\epsilon}v)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^2}\\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^\infty}\|\Lambda^{s-\frac12-2\epsilon}v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}\\ &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{14}+\epsilon}u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{14}}\|u\|_{H^{s-\frac12-2\epsilon,\frac12+\epsilon}}\\ &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac12-2\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac12+2\epsilon}u\|_{F^s}\|u\|_{H^{s-\frac12-2\epsilon,\frac12+\epsilon}}\,,\end{aligned}$$

where we used Prop. 3.11 and Prop. 3.9 in order to replace $L_t^pL_x^q$-norms by $\mathcal{L}_t^p\mathcal{L}_x^q$-norms.
Let us now consider the case where the frequencies of u or v are ≤ 1. We use the crude bound

$$\Gamma^1(u,v)\precsim uv\,.$$

If u has low frequencies we obtain by Prop. 3.7 :

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Gamma^1(u,v)\|_{H^{s-1,-\frac12+2\epsilon}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{\frac32+,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-1,\frac12+\epsilon}}\\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-1,\frac12+\epsilon}}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we treat the case where v has low frequencies.
c. Finally consider $(\Lambda^{-2}u)v$ and $u(\Lambda^{-2}v)$. It suffices to show

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\Lambda^{-2}u)v\|_{H^{s-1,-\frac12+2\epsilon}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-1,\frac12+\epsilon}}\,,\\ \|u(\Lambda^{-2}v)\|_{H^{s-1,-\frac12+2\epsilon}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-1,\frac12+\epsilon}}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Both follow easily from Prop. 3.7 under our asumption $s>\frac12$.

B. Now we consider the second part of the F^s-norm. We want to show

$$\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{-1+\epsilon}\Lambda_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-\frac27-\frac12+3\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{\frac12}\Gamma^1(u,v)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{14}}\lesssim\|u\|_{F^s}\|\Lambda_+v\|_{H^{s-2,\frac12+\epsilon}}\,.\tag{64}$$

a. We first consider $\Gamma_2^1(u,v)$ and use (48).
1. The estimate for the first term on the right hand side reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{-1+\epsilon}\Lambda_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-\frac27-\frac12+3\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{\frac12}D_+^{\frac12-2\epsilon}D_-^{\frac12-2\epsilon}(D_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-1}uD_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-1}v)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{14}}\\ &\quad\lesssim\|\Lambda_+u\|_{H^{s-1,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|\Lambda_+v\|_{H^{s-2,\frac12+\epsilon}}\end{aligned}$$

and therefore we only have to prove

$$\|\Lambda^{-\frac27-\frac12+3\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{14}}\lesssim\|u\|_{H^{s+\frac12-2\epsilon,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|\Lambda_+v\|_{H^{s-\frac12-2\epsilon,\frac12+\epsilon}}\,.\tag{65}$$

This follows from Proposition 3.5 with parameters $q=\frac{28}{13}$, $r=28$, $\sigma=\frac{11}{14}-3\epsilon$, $s_1=\frac67+3\epsilon$ and $s_2=\frac57-\frac12$. The claimed estimate follows, because $s_1<s+\frac12-2\epsilon$ and $s_2<s-\frac12-2\epsilon$ under our assumption $s>\frac57$.
2. The second term is modified by partial integration:

$$\begin{aligned}D_+D_-^{\frac12-2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-1}u\,D_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-1}v &= D_+^{\frac27}(D_+^{\frac55}D_-^{\frac12-2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-1}u\,D_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-1}v)\\ &\quad+D_+^{\frac57}D_-^{\frac12-2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-1}u\,D_+^{\frac12+\frac27+2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-1}v\,.\end{aligned}$$

2.1. We have to prove

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{-1+\epsilon}\Lambda_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-\frac27-\frac12+3\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{\frac12}\Lambda_+^{\frac27}(\Lambda_+^{\frac57}\Lambda_-^{\frac12+\epsilon}\Lambda^{-1}u\Lambda_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-1}v)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{14}}\\ &\quad\lesssim\|\Lambda_+u\|_{H^{s-1,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|\Lambda_+v\|_{H^{s-2,\frac12+\epsilon}}\,.\end{aligned}$$

We use $\Lambda_-^{2\epsilon} u \precsim \Lambda_+^{2\epsilon} u$ and reduce to

$$\|\Lambda^{-1+7\epsilon} \Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}} \mathcal{L}_x^{14}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{2}{7},0}} \|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} ,$$

We obtain by Proposition 3.14

$$\|\Lambda^{-1+7\epsilon} \Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}} \mathcal{L}_x^{14}} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{7}+7\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{1+} \mathcal{L}_x^{2}} .$$

We now show that

$$\|uv\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{7}+7\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^1} \|v\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{7}+7\epsilon,3}} ,$$

which by duality is equivalent to

$$\|uw\|_{H^{\frac{1}{7}-7\epsilon,\frac{3}{2}}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^1} \|w\|_{H^{\frac{1}{7}-7\epsilon}} .$$

By the fractional Leibniz rule

$$\begin{aligned} \|uw\|_{H^{\frac{1}{7}-7\epsilon,\frac{3}{2}}} &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{7}-7\epsilon} uw\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \|u \Lambda^{\frac{1}{7}-7\epsilon} w\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{7}-7\epsilon} u\|_{L^p} \|w\|_{L^q} + \|u\|_{L^6} \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{7}-7\epsilon} w\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^1} \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{7}-7\epsilon} w\|_{L^2} , \end{aligned}$$

where we choose $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{21} + \frac{7}{3}\epsilon$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{7} - \frac{7}{3}\epsilon$, so that by Sobolev $H^{\frac{1}{7}-7\epsilon} \hookrightarrow L^q$ and $H^1 \hookrightarrow H^{\frac{1}{7}-7\epsilon,p}$. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{7}+7\epsilon}(uv)\|_{L_t^{1+} L_x^2} &\lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^2 H_x^1} \|v\|_{L_t^{2+} H_x^{-\frac{1}{7}+7\epsilon,3}} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{2}{7},0}} \|v\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{7}+7\epsilon+1-\frac{2}{3}+,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{2}{7},0}} \|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \end{aligned}$$

for $s > \frac{5}{7}$, where we used Prop. 3.3.

2.2. We need

$$\|\Lambda^{-1-\frac{2}{7}+7\epsilon} \Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}} \mathcal{L}_x^{14}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{2}{7},0}} \|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2}{7}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} ,$$

We obtain by Proposition 3.14

$$\|\Lambda^{-1-\frac{2}{7}+7\epsilon} \Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}} \mathcal{L}_x^{14}} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{-\frac{3}{7}+7\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{1+} \mathcal{L}_x^{2}} .$$

We now show that

$$\|uv\|_{H^{-\frac{3}{7}+7\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^1} \|v\|_{H^{-\frac{3}{7}+7\epsilon,3}} ,$$

which by duality is equivalent to

$$\|uw\|_{H^{\frac{3}{7}-7\epsilon,\frac{3}{2}}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^1} \|w\|_{H^{\frac{3}{7}+7\epsilon}} .$$

By the fractional Leibniz rule

$$\begin{aligned} \|uw\|_{H^{\frac{3}{7}-7\epsilon,\frac{3}{2}}} &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{7}-7\epsilon} uw\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \|u \Lambda^{\frac{3}{7}-7\epsilon} w\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{7}-7\epsilon} u\|_{L^p} \|w\|_{L^q} + \|u\|_{L^6} \|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{7}-7\epsilon} w\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^1} \|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{7}-7\epsilon} w\|_{L^2} , \end{aligned}$$

where we choose $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{7} + \frac{7}{3}\epsilon$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{7} - \frac{7}{3}\epsilon$, so that by Sobolev $H^{\frac{3}{7}-7\epsilon} \hookrightarrow L^q$ and $H^{1-\frac{3}{7}+7\epsilon} \hookrightarrow L^p$. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Lambda^{-\frac{3}{7}+7\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{1+} \mathcal{L}_x^{2}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^2 H_x^1} \|v\|_{L_t^{2+} H_x^{-\frac{3}{7}+7\epsilon,3}} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{2}{7},0}} \|v\|_{H^{-\frac{3}{7}+7\epsilon+1-\frac{2}{3}+,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{2}{7},0}} \|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2}{7}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \end{aligned}$$

for $s > \frac{5}{7}$ by Prop. 3.3.
3. We reduce to

$$\|\Lambda^{-1-\frac{2}{7}+7\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{14}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-1,0}} . \tag{66}$$

We obtain by Proposition 3.14

$$\|\Lambda^{-1-\frac{2}{7}+7\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{14}} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{-\frac{3}{7}+7\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{1+}\mathcal{L}_x^{2}} ,$$

Now for suitable p we obtain

$$\|uv\|_{H^{-\frac{3}{7}+7\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{\frac{2}{7},p}}\|v\|_{H^{-\frac{2}{7}}} ,$$

which by duality is equivalent to

$$\|uw\|_{H^{\frac{2}{7}}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{\frac{2}{7},p}}\|w\|_{H^{\frac{3}{7}-7\epsilon}} .$$

By the fractional Leibniz rule

$$\begin{aligned}\|uw\|_{H^{\frac{2}{7}}} &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{2}{7}}uw\|_{L^2} + \|u\Lambda^{\frac{2}{7}}w\|_{L^2}\\ &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{2}{7}}u\|_{L^p}\|w\|_{L^q} + \|u\|_{L^{p_1}}\|\Lambda^{\frac{2}{7}}w\|_{L^{q_1}}\\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{\frac{2}{7},p}}\|w\|_{H^{\frac{3}{7}-7\epsilon}} ,\end{aligned}$$

where we choose $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{7} + \frac{7}{3}\epsilon$, $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{7} - \frac{7}{3}\epsilon$, $\frac{1}{q_1} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{21} + \frac{7}{3}\epsilon$, $\frac{1}{p_1} = \frac{1}{21} - \frac{7}{3}\epsilon$, so that by Sobolev $H^{\frac{3}{7}-7\epsilon} \hookrightarrow L^q$, $H^{\frac{1}{7}+7\epsilon} \hookrightarrow L^{q_1}$ and $H^{\frac{3}{7},p} \hookrightarrow L^{p_1}$. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Lambda^{-\frac{3}{7}+7\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{1+}\mathcal{L}_x^{2}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^{2+}H_x^{\frac{2}{7},p}}\|v\|_{L_t^2H_x^{-\frac{2}{7}}}\\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{\frac{1}{7}+1-\frac{2}{p}+,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{-\frac{2}{7},0}}\\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-1,0}}\end{aligned}$$

for $s > \frac{5}{7}$ by Prop. 3.3.
B. Next we consider Γ_1^1 using (47).
1. The estimate for the first term on the right hand side of (47) reduces to (65).
2. The second term on the right hand side of (47) reduces to

$$\|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2}{7}+5\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{14}} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}}u\|_{F^s}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} . \tag{67}$$

We start with Proposition 3.14

$$\|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2}{7}+5\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{14}} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{4}{7}-\frac{1}{2}+5\epsilon}(\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}u\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}v)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{1+}\mathcal{L}_x^{2}} \tag{68}$$

By the fractional Leibniz rule we have to consider two terms.
2.1.

$$\begin{aligned}\|u(\Lambda^{\frac{4}{7}-\frac{1}{2}+5\epsilon}v)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{1+}\mathcal{L}_x^{2}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{\infty}}\|v\|_{L_t^{14+}H_x^{\frac{4}{7}-\frac{1}{2}+5\epsilon}}\\ &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{14}+\epsilon}u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{14}}\|v\|_{H^{\frac{4}{7}-\frac{1}{2}+5\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\\ &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Lambda_+^{\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-\frac{2}{7}-\frac{1}{2}+3\epsilon}u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{14}}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\\ &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}u\|_{F^s}\ \|v\|_{H^{s-1-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .\end{aligned}$$

2.2. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\Lambda^{\frac{4}{7}-\frac{1}{2}+5\epsilon}u)v)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{1+}\mathcal{L}_x^{2}} &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{4}{7}-\frac{1}{2}+5\epsilon}u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{q}}\|v\|_{L_t^{14+}L_x^{r}}\\ &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2}{7}+\epsilon}u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{14}}\|v\|_{H^{\frac{1}{14}+5\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\\ &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}}u\|_{F^s}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} ,\end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{14} - \frac{1}{21} + \frac{5}{3}\epsilon$ and $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{42} - \frac{5}{3}\epsilon$, so that by Sobolev $H^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2}{7},14} \hookrightarrow H^{\frac{4}{7}-\frac{1}{2}+5\epsilon,q}$ and $H^{\frac{4}{7}-\frac{1}{2}+5\epsilon} \hookrightarrow L^r$. The last estimate follows as in 2.1.
3. The last term on the right hand side of (47) requires

$$\|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2}{7}+5\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{14}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,0}} . \tag{69}$$

The left hand side is estimated using Prop. 3.14 by $\|\Lambda^{\frac{4}{7}-\frac{1}{2}+5\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{1+}\mathcal{L}_x^2}$. By the fractional Leibniz rule we have to treat two terms.
3.1. By Sobolev and Prop. 3.3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\Lambda^{\frac{4}{7}-\frac{1}{2}+5\epsilon}u)v\|_{L_t^{1+}L_x^2} &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{4}{7}-\frac{1}{2}+5\epsilon+}u\|_{L_t^{2+}L_x^{14}}\|v\|_{L_t^2L_x^{\frac{7}{3}}}\\ &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{7}+\frac{1}{2}+5\epsilon}u\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,0}}\\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,0}} ,\end{aligned}$$

using $H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon} \hookrightarrow H^{\frac{5}{7}-\frac{1}{2}} \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{7}{3}}$.
3.2. Similarly by Prop. 3.3:

$$\begin{aligned}\|u(\Lambda^{\frac{4}{7}-\frac{1}{2}+5\epsilon}v)\|_{L_t^{1+}L_x^2} &\lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^{2+}L_x^{\infty-}}\|\Lambda^{\frac{4}{7}-\frac{1}{2}+5\epsilon}v\|_{L_t^2L_x^2}\\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,0}} .\end{aligned}$$

4. Finally, we consider the case where u and/or v have frequency ≤ 1 . Trivially the symbol of Γ_1^1 is bounded, so that $\Gamma_1^1(u,v) \precsim uv$. Thus it suffices to show

$$\|\Lambda^{-1-\frac{2}{7}+5\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{14}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .$$

We crudely estimate the left hand side by

$$\|\Lambda^{-1-\frac{2}{7}+5\epsilon}(uv)\|_{L_t^{\frac{14}{13}}L_x^{14}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s_1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s_2,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}$$

by use of Proposition 3.5 with parameters $r = 28$, $q = \frac{28}{13}$, where s_1 and s_2 have to fulfill $s_1 + s_2 \ge \frac{4}{7}$ and $s_1, s_2 < \frac{3}{2} - \frac{4}{7}$. If u has frequency ≤ 1 choose $s_1 = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{4}{7} - \epsilon$, $s_2 = -\frac{5}{14} + \epsilon < s - 1$. The value of s_1 is irrelevant in view of the low frequency assumption. If v has frequency ≤ 1 choose $s_1 = \frac{5}{7} < s$, $s_2 = -\frac{1}{7}$, where the value of s_2 is irrelevant.
c. According to Lemma 4.4 we finally have to consider $(\Lambda^{-2}u)v$ and $u(\Lambda^{-2}v)$. We have to show

$$\|\Lambda^{-1-\frac{2}{7}+5\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}((\Lambda^{-2})uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{14}} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{-2}u\|_{H^{s+2,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}$$

We argue as in 4. choosing $s_1 = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{4}{7} - \epsilon < s + 2$ and $s_2 = -\frac{5}{14} + \epsilon < s - 1$. In the same way we also obtain

$$\|\Lambda^{-1-\frac{2}{7}+5\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}(u(\Lambda^{-2}v))\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{14}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|\Lambda^{-2}v\|_{H^{s+1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}$$

by the choice $s_1 = \frac{5}{7} < s$, $s_2 = -\frac{1}{7} < s+1$. The proof of (30) is now complete. □

Proof of (25) and (26). We have to prove

$$\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{-1+\epsilon}Q(u,v)\|_{F^s} \lesssim \|\Lambda u\|_{F^s}\|\Lambda_+ v\|_{H^{s-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}$$

for $s = \frac{5}{7}$, which immediately implies the case $s > \frac{5}{7}$. We want to use (45).
A. The first part of the F^s-norm is handled as follows:
1. For the first term we reduce to the estimate

$$\|uv\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,0}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} ,$$

which follows by Prop. 3.4.
2. For the last term we reduce to

$$\|uv\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,0}} ,$$

which also holds by Prop. 3.4.
3. For the second term we want to prove

$$\|uv\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}u\|_{F^s} \|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .$$

Using the fractional Leibniz rule we obtain:
3.1. By Sobolev we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u\Lambda^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}v\|_{H^{0,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} &\lesssim \|u\Lambda^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}v\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^2} \\
&\lesssim \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^\infty} \|\Lambda^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{14}+\epsilon}u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{14}} \|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}}u\|_{F^s} \|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .
\end{aligned}$$

3.2.

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(\Lambda^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}u)v\|_{H^{0,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{14}-2\epsilon}u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^r} \|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^q} \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{14}}u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{14}} \|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}}u\|_{F^s} \|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} ,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{14} + \frac{2}{3}\epsilon$, $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{14} - \frac{2}{3}\epsilon$ so that the Sobolev embeddings $H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon} \hookrightarrow L^q$ and $H^{2\epsilon,14} \hookrightarrow L^r$ hold.
B. The second part of the F^s-norm is handled as follows:
1. The first term on the right hand side of (45) requires the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{-1+\epsilon}\Lambda_+^{\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}\Lambda^{-\frac{2}{7}-\frac{1}{2}+3\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{\frac{1}{2}}\Lambda_+^{\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}(\Lambda_+^{\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}u\Lambda_+^{\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}v)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{14}} \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda_+ u\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|\Lambda_+ v\|_{H^{s-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} ,
\end{aligned}$$

which reduces to

$$\|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2}{7}+3\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{14}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .$$

This follows by Prop. 3.5 with parameters $q = \frac{28}{13}$, $r = 28$, $\sigma = \frac{11}{14} - 3\epsilon$. This requires $s_1, s_2 < \frac{13}{14}$ and $s_1 + s_2 \geq \frac{15}{14}$. We choose $s_1 = \frac{13}{14} - \epsilon < s + \frac{1}{2} - 2\epsilon$, $s_2 = \frac{1}{7} + \epsilon < s - \frac{1}{2} - 2\epsilon$.
2. The estimate for the second term reduces to

$$\|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2}{7}+2\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{14}} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}u\|_{F^s} \|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} ,$$

where we used $\Lambda_-^{2\epsilon}u \precsim \Lambda_+^{2\epsilon}u$. By Prop. 3.14 we obtain the following bound for the left hand side: $\|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{14}+2\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{1+}\mathcal{L}_x^2}$. Using the fractional Leibniz rule we estimate by Prop. 3.9 and Prop. 3.11 :

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(\Lambda^{\frac{1}{14}+2\epsilon}\ u)v\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{1+}\mathcal{L}_x^2} &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{14}+2\epsilon}u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{14}} \|v\|_{L_t^{14}L_x^{\frac{7}{3}}} \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}}u\|_{F^s} \|v\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} ,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used Sobolev's embedding $H^{s-\frac12-2\epsilon} \hookrightarrow L^{\frac73}$ for $s > \frac57$. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\Lambda^{\frac{1}{14}+2\epsilon}v\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{1+}\mathcal{L}_x^2} &\lesssim \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^\infty}\|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{14}+2\epsilon}v\|_{L_t^{14}L_x^2}\\ &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac12-2\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac12+2\epsilon}u\|_{F^s}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac12-2\epsilon,\frac12+\epsilon}}\,.\end{aligned}$$

3. The last term on the right hand side of (45) is modified by partial integration:

$$\Lambda_+^{\frac12-2\epsilon}(\Lambda_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon}u\Lambda_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{\frac12-2\epsilon}v) = \Lambda_+u\Lambda_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{\frac12-2\epsilon}v + \Lambda_+^{\frac12+2\epsilon}u\Lambda_+\Lambda_-^{\frac12-2\epsilon}v\,.$$

We first consider the second term and reduce to

$$\|\Lambda^{-1-\frac27+4\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac12-\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{14}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac12-2\epsilon,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-1,0}}\,.$$

This is essentially identical with (66).
Finally we reduce the first term to

$$\|\Lambda^{-1-\frac27+4\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac12-\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{14}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac12-2\epsilon,0}}\,.$$

By Prop. 3.14 the left hand side is bounded by $\|\Lambda^{-\frac37+4\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{1+}\mathcal{L}_x^2}$. Next we prove for a suitable p :

$$\|uv\|_{H^{-\frac37+4\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{-\frac{3}{14},p}}\|v\|_{H^{\frac{3}{14}}}\,,$$

which by duality is equivalent to

$$\|vw\|_{H^{\frac{3}{14},p'}} \lesssim \|v\|_{H^{\frac{3}{14}}}\|w\|_{H^{\frac37-4\epsilon}}\,.$$

We use the fractional Leibniz rule and obtain

$$\|(\Lambda^{\frac{3}{14}}v)w\|_{L^{p'}} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{14}}v\|_{L^2}\|w\|_{L^q} \lesssim \|v\|_{H^{\frac{3}{14}}}\|w\|_{H^{\frac37-4\epsilon}}\,,$$

where $\frac1q = \frac12 - \frac17 + \frac43\epsilon$, $\frac{1}{p'} = \frac67 + \frac43\epsilon$ and $\frac1p = \frac17 - \frac43\epsilon$, so that by Sobolev $H^{\frac37-4\epsilon} \hookrightarrow L^q$. Moreover

$$\|v\Lambda^{\frac{3}{14}}w\|_{L^{p'}} \lesssim \|v\|_{L^{r_1}}\|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{14}}w\|_{L^{r_2}}\,,$$

where $\frac{1}{r_1} = \frac12 - \frac{1}{14}$, $\frac{1}{r_2} = \frac37 + \frac43\epsilon$, so that $H^{\frac{3}{14}} \hookrightarrow L^{r_1}$ and $H^{\frac37-4\epsilon} \hookrightarrow H^{\frac{3}{14},r_2}$. Therefore we obtain by Prop. 3.3 :

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Lambda^{-\frac37+4\epsilon}(uv)\|_{L_t^{1+}L_x^2} &\lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^{2+}H_x^{-\frac{3}{14},p}}\|v\|_{L_t^2H_x^{\frac{3}{14}}}\\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{-\frac{3}{14}+1-\frac2p+,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac12-2\epsilon,0}}\\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-\frac12-2\epsilon,0}}\,,\end{aligned}$$

for $s > \frac57$, as one easily checks. □

Proof of (27). We may reduce to

$$\|\Lambda_+^{-1}\Lambda_-^{\epsilon-1}\Lambda_+Q(u,v)\|_{H^{r,\frac12+\epsilon}} \lesssim \|\Lambda u\|_{F^s}\|\Lambda_+v\|_{H^{r-1,\frac12+\epsilon}}\,.$$

Now we use (45) and estimate the three terms as follows:
1. The estimate for the first term is reduced to (using the trivial estimate $\Lambda_-^{2\epsilon}u \precsim \Lambda_+^{2\epsilon}u$) :

$$\|uv\|_{H^{r-\frac12+2\epsilon,0}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac12-2\epsilon,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{r-\frac12+2\epsilon,\frac12+\epsilon}}\,,$$

which follows from Prop. 3.4 using our assumptions $s > \frac57$, $r > -\frac17$.
2. The estimate for the last term reduces to

$$\|uv\|_{H^{r-\frac12+2\epsilon,-\frac12+2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac12-2\epsilon,\frac12+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{r-\frac12+2\epsilon,0}}\,,$$

which is also a consequence of Prop. 3.4 under our assumption $2s - r > \frac32$.
3. The second term requires

$$\|uv\|_{H^{r-\frac12+2\epsilon,-\frac12+2\epsilon}} \lesssim (\|u\|_{H^{s+\frac12-2\epsilon,0}} + \|\Lambda^{\frac12-2\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac12}u\|_{F^s})\|v\|_{H^{r-\frac12+2\epsilon,\frac12+2\epsilon}}\,.$$

We first consider the case $r \leq \frac{1}{2} - 2\epsilon$. By duality we have to show

$$\|uw\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}-r-2\epsilon,-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}} \lesssim (\|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,0}} + \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}}u\|_{F^s})\|w\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}-r-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} .$$

By the fractional Leibniz rule we have to consider two terms.
3.1.

$$\|(\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-r-2\epsilon}u)w\|_{H^{0,-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,0}}\|w\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}-r-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}} ,$$

which follows from Prop. 3.4, because $s_0 + s_1 + s_2 = s - r + 1 - 4\epsilon > \frac{7}{4} - \frac{r}{2} > \frac{3}{2}$ under our assumption $2s - r > \frac{3}{2}$ and $r \leq \frac{1}{2} - 2\epsilon$.
3.2.

$$\begin{aligned}&\|u(\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-r-2\epsilon}w)\|_{H^{0,-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-r-2\epsilon}w\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_t\mathcal{L}^2_x}\\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{14}_t\mathcal{L}^\infty_x}\|w\|_{L^{14}_t H^{\frac{1}{2}-r-2\epsilon}_x} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}}u\|_{F^s}\|w\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}-r-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}} .\end{aligned}$$

Now we consider the case $r \geq \frac{1}{2} - 2\epsilon$, which is treated by the fractional Leibniz rule as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}&\|uv\|_{H^{r-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon,-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|(\Lambda^{r-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}u)v\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}} + \|u(\Lambda^{r-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}v)\|_{H^{0,\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}}\\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,0}}\|v\|_{H^{r-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} + \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{14}_t\mathcal{L}^\infty_x}\|v\|_{H^{r-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .\end{aligned}$$

Here we used Prop. 3.4 with parameters $s_0 = s-r+1-4\epsilon$, $s_1 = 0$, $s_2 = r-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon$, so that $s_0 + s_1 + s_2 = s + \frac{1}{2} - 6\epsilon > 1$ and $(s_0 + s_1 + s_2) + s_1 + s_2 = s + r - 8\epsilon > \frac{3}{4} + \frac{3}{2}r + 4\epsilon > \frac{3}{2}$, the latter by our assumption $2s - r > \frac{3}{2}$ and $r \geq \frac{1}{2} - 2\epsilon$. □

Proof of (31) and (32). **A.** The first part of the F^s-norm in the case of (31) reduces to the following estimate

$$\|uv\|_{H^{s-1,-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s+1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} ,$$

which easily follows from the Sobolev multiplication law (Prop. 3.7), because $(1 - s) + s + (s + 1) = s + 2 > \frac{3}{2}$, and (32) is treated in the same way.
B. In the case of (31) for the second part of the F^s-norm we use Prop. 3.14 and Prop. 3.7 to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Lambda^{-1-\frac{2}{7}+7\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\frac{14}{13}}_t\mathcal{L}^{14}_x} &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{7}-2+7\epsilon}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1+}_t\mathcal{L}^2_x}\\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{L^{2+}_t H^s_x}\|v\|_{L^{2+}_t H^{s+1}_x} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s+1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .\end{aligned}$$

In the same way in the case of (32) we obtain that the left hand side of the previous estimate is bounded by $\|u\|_{H^{s+2,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}$ as desired. □

Proof of (34) and (35). (34) reduces to the following estimate

$$\|uv\|_{H^{r-1,-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+2,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{r-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} ,$$

which follows from Prop. 3.7, because $s + 2 > \frac{3}{2}$. Similarly (35) reduces to

$$\|uv\|_{H^{r-1,-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s-1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} ,$$

which also holds by Sobolev, where we use our assumption $2s - r + 1 > \frac{5}{2}$. □

Proof of (36). **A.** The estimate for the first part of the F^s-norm reduces to

$$\|uvw\|_{H^{s-1,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{r+1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|vw\|_{H^{r,0}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{r+1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|w\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} ,$$

which follows from Prop. 3.4, where we use $2r-s>-1$ and $r\geq s-1$ for the first step and $2s-r>\frac{3}{2}$ for the second step.
B. The estimate for the second part of the F^s-norm reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\Lambda^{-1-\frac{2}{7}+5\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}(uvw)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{14}}\lesssim\|\Lambda^{-\frac{3}{7}+7\epsilon}(uvw)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{1+}\mathcal{L}_x^2}\lesssim\|uvw\|_{L_t^{1+}L_x^p}\\&\lesssim\|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^r}\|vw\|_{L_t^{1+}L_x^q}\lesssim\|u\|_{H^{\frac{6}{7},\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{L_t^{2+}L_x^{3q}}\|w\|_{L_t^{2+}L_x^{2q}}\\&\lesssim\|u\|_{H^{r+1,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|w\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\,,\end{aligned}$$

which we obtain for $s>\frac{5}{7}$ and $r>\frac{1}{7}$ by Prop. 3.14 , Sobolev and Prop. 3.1, where $\frac{1}{p}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{7}-\frac{7}{3}\epsilon$, $\frac{1}{r}=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2}{7}$ and $\frac{1}{q}=\frac{3}{7}-\frac{7}{3}\epsilon$, so that $H^{\frac{3}{7}-7\epsilon,p}\hookrightarrow L^2$ and $H^{\frac{6}{7}}\hookrightarrow L^r$.

Proof of (37). **A.** We may reduce to

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\Lambda^{-1}(vw)\|_{H^{s-1,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}}&\lesssim\|u\|_{H^{r,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|\Lambda^{-1}(vw)\|_{H^{s+\frac{1}{2},0}}\\&\lesssim\|u\|_{H^{r,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|w\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\,,\end{aligned}$$

by our assumption that $2r-s>-1$, where we use Prop. 3.4 twice .
B. For the second part of the F^s-norm we use Prop. 3.7 and obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\Lambda^{-1-\frac{2}{7}+5\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}(u\Lambda^{-1}(vw))\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{14}}\lesssim\|\Lambda^{-\frac{3}{7}+7\epsilon}(u\Lambda^{-1}(vw))\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{1+}\mathcal{L}_x^2}\\&\lesssim\|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^{-\frac{1}{7}+8\epsilon}}\|\Lambda^{-1}(vw)\|_{L_t^{1+}H_x^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{2}{7}}}\lesssim\|u\|_{H^{r,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|vw\|_{L_t^{1+}H_x^{\frac{3}{14}}}\,.\end{aligned}$$

We have to estimate $\|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{14}}(vw)\|_{L_t^{1+}L_x^2}$, which by symmetry and the fractional Leibniz rule reduces to $\|(\Lambda^{\frac{3}{14}}v)w\|_{L_t^{1+}L_x^2}$. By Prop. 3.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\Lambda^{\frac{3}{14}}v)w\|_{L_t^{1+}L_x^2}&\lesssim\|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{14}}v\|_{L_t^{2+}L_x^{\frac{14}{5}}}\|w\|_{L_t^{2+}L_x^7}\\&\lesssim\|v\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|w\|_{H^{\frac{5}{7},\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\lesssim\|v\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|w\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\,.\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of (39). **A.** It suffices to consider the case $s=\frac{5}{7}$. We easily obtain the desired estimate by Prop. 3.4:

$$\|uvw\|_{H^{-\frac{2}{7},-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}}\lesssim\|u\|_{H^{\frac{5}{7},\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|vw\|_{H^{\frac{1}{7},0}}\lesssim\|u\|_{H^{\frac{5}{7},\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{\frac{5}{7},\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|w\|_{H^{\frac{5}{7},\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\,.$$

B. For the second part of the F^s-norm we use Prop. 3.14 and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\Lambda^{-1-\frac{2}{7}+5\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}(uvw)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{14}}\lesssim\|\Lambda^{-\frac{3}{7}+7\epsilon}(uvw))\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{1+}\mathcal{L}_x^2}\\&\lesssim\|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^q}\|v\|_{L_t^{2+}L_x^r}\|w\|_{L_t^{2+}L_x^r}\lesssim\|u\|_{H^{\frac{5}{7},\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{\frac{5}{7},\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|w\|_{H^{\frac{5}{7},\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\,,\end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{p}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{7}-\frac{7}{3}\epsilon$, $\frac{1}{q}=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{5}{21}$, $\frac{2}{r}=\frac{8}{21}-\frac{7}{3}\epsilon$, which implies by Sobolev $H^{\frac{5}{7}}\hookrightarrow L^q$, and Prop. 3.1 implies $\|v\|_{L_t^{2+}L_x^r}\lesssim\|v\|_{H^{\frac{13}{21}+\frac{7}{3}\epsilon,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}$. □

Proof of (40). We obtain by Prop. 3.4:

$$\|uvw\|_{H^{r-1,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}}\lesssim\|uv\|_{H^{0+,0}}\|w\|_{H^{r,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\lesssim\|u\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|w\|_{H^{r,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\,.$$

□

Proof of (38). **A.** For the first part of the F^s-norm we have to show

$$\|\Lambda^{-1}(uv)wz\|_{H^{s-1,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}}\lesssim\|u\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|w\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|z\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\,.$$

It suffices to consider the minimal value $s = \frac{5}{7}$, which by Proposition 3.4 and Prop. 3.7 can be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Lambda^{-1}(uv)wz\|_{H^{-\frac{2}{7},-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-1}(uv)\|_{H^{\frac{11}{14},\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|wz\|_{H^{0,0}}\\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{\frac{5}{7},\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{\frac{5}{7},\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|w\|_{H^{\frac{5}{7},\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|z\|_{H^{\frac{5}{7},\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .\end{aligned}$$

B. We obtain by Prop. 3.14

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\Lambda^{-1-\frac{2}{7}+5\epsilon}\Lambda_-^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}(\Lambda^{-1}(uv)wz))\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\frac{14}{13}}\mathcal{L}_x^{14}} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{-\frac{3}{7}+7\epsilon}(uvw))\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{1+}\mathcal{L}_x^2}\\ &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-1}(uv)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^q}\|wz\|_{L_t^{1+}L_x^r} \lesssim \|uv\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^{-\frac{4}{7}}}\|w\|_{L_t^{2+}L_x^{2r}}\|z\|_{L_t^{2+}L_x^{2r}}\\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|w\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|z\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} ,\end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{7} - \frac{7}{3}\epsilon$, $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{7}$, $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{2}{7} - \frac{7}{3}\epsilon$, so that by Sobolev $H^{\frac{3}{7}} \hookrightarrow L^q$, and by Prop. 3.1: $\|w\|_{L_t^{2+}L_x^{2r}} \lesssim \|w\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}$. □

Proof of (41). We have to show

$$\|uvwz\|_{H^{r-1,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|w\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|z\|_{H^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} .$$

By our assumption $2s - r > \frac{3}{2}$ the left hand side is bounded by the term $\|uvwz\|_{H^{2s-\frac{5}{2}-\epsilon,-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}}$. It suffices to prove the remaining estimate for the (minimal) value $s = \frac{5}{7}$. By Proposition 3.4 and Prop. 3.7 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|uvwz\|_{H^{-\frac{15}{14},-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}} &\lesssim \|uv\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{7},\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|wz\|_{H^{\frac{1}{7},0}}\\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{\frac{5}{7},\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|v\|_{H^{\frac{5}{7},\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|w\|_{H^{\frac{5}{7},\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\|z\|_{H^{\frac{5}{7},\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\end{aligned}$$

□

References

[AFS] P. d'Ancona, D. Foschi, and S. Selberg, *Atlas of products for wave-Sobolev spaces on* $\mathbb{R}^{1+3}$. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 364, (2012), 31-63.

[AFS1] P. d'Ancona, D. Foschi, and S. Selberg, sl Null structure and almost optimal local well-posedness of the Maxwell-Dirac system. Amer. J. Math. 132 (2010), 771–839.

[GV] J. Ginibre and G. Velo: *Generalized Strichartz inequalities for the wave equation.* J. Functional Anal. 133 (1995), 60-68

[KM] S. Klainerman and M. Machedon: *Finite energy solutions of the Yang-Mills equations in* $\mathbb{R}^{3+1}$. Ann. Math. 142 (1995), 39-119

[KMBT] S. Klainerman and M. Machedon (Appendices by J. Bougain and D. Tataru): *Remark on Strichartz-type inequalities.* Int. Math. Res. Notices 1996, no.5, 201-220

[KS] S. Klainerman and S. Selberg: *Bilinear estimates and applications to nonlinear wave equations.* Communications in Contemporary Mathematics. 4 (2002) 223-295.

[KT] S. Klainerman and D. Tataru: *On the optimal local regularity for the Yang-Mills equations in* $\mathbb{R}^{4+1}$. Journal of the AMS 12 (1999), 93-116

[KrSt] J. Krieger and J. Sterbenz: *Global regularity for the Yang-Mills equations on high dimensonal Minkowski space.* Mem. AMS 223 (2013), No. 1047

[KrT] J. Krieger and D. Tataru: *Global well-posedness for the Yang-Mills equations in 4+1 dimensions. Small energy.* arXiv:1509.00751

[LV] S. Lee and A. Vargas: *Sharp null form estimates for the wave equation.* Amer. J. Math. 130 (2008), 1279-1326

[O] S. Oh: *Gauge choice for the Yang-Mills equations using the Yang-Mills heat flow and local well-posedness in* H^1. J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ. 11 (2014), 1108.

[O1] S. Oh: *Finite energy global well-posedness of the Yang-Mills equations on* $\mathbb{R}^{1+3}$*: an approach using the Yang-Mills heat flow.* Duke Math. J. 164 (2015), 16691732.

[P] H. Pecher: *Local well-posedness for the (n+1)-dimensional Yang-Mills and Yang-Mills-Higgs system in temporal gauge.* Nonl. Diff. Equ. Appl. 23 (2016), 23-40

[ST1] S. Selberg and A. Tesfahun, *Finite-energy global well-posedness of the Maxwell-Klein-Gordon system in Lorenz gauge.* Comm. Partial Differential Equations 35 (2010), 1029–1057.

[ST] S. Selberg and A. Tesfahun: *Null structure and local well-posedness in the energy class for the Yang-Mills equations in Lorenz gauge*. Journal of the European Mathematical Society 18 (2016), 17291752.

[St] J. Sterbenz: *Global regularity and scattering for general non-linear wave equations. II. (4+1) dimensional Yang-Mills equations in the Lorentz gauge*. Amer. J. Math. 129 (2007), 611664.

[T] T. Tao: *Local well-posedness of the Yang-Mills equation in the temporal gauge below the energy norm*. J. Diff. Equ. 189 (2003), 366-382

[Te] A. Tesfahun: *Local well-posedness of Yang-Mills equations in Lorenz gauge below the energy norm*. Nonlin. Diff. Equ. Appl. 22 (2015), 849-875